Transactions

Serializability Isolation Levels Atomicity

Slides from Jeff Ullman Taught by Claudia Roncancio

The Setting

- Database systems are normally being accessed by many users or processes at the same time.
 - Both queries and modifications.
- Unlike operating systems, which support interaction of processes, a DMBS needs to keep processes from troublesome interactions.

Example: Bad Interaction

- You and your domestic partner each take \$100 from different ATM's at about the same time.
 - The DBMS better make sure one account deduction doesn't get lost.
- Compare: An OS allows two people to edit a document at the same time. If both write, one's changes get lost.

ACID Transactions

- A DBMS is expected to support "ACID transactions," processes that are:
 - *Atomic* : Either the whole process is done or none is.
 - *Consistent* : Database constraints are preserved.
 - *Isolated* : It appears to the user as if only one process executes at a time.
 - Durable : Effects of a process do not get lost if the system crashes.

Transactions in SQL

- SQL supports transactions, often behind the scenes.
 - Each statement issued at the generic query interface is a transaction by itself.
 - In programming interfaces like Embedded SQL or PSM, a transaction begins the first time a SQL statement is executed and ends with the program or an explicit transactionend.

COMMIT

- The SQL statement COMMIT causes a transaction to complete.
 - It's database modifications are now permanent in the database.

ROLLBACK

- The SQL statement ROLLBACK also causes the transaction to end, but by *aborting*.
 - No effects on the database.
- Failures like division by 0 or a constraint violation can also cause rollback, even if the programmer does not request it.

An Example: Interacting Processes

- Assume the usual Sells(bar,beer,price) relation, and suppose that Joe's Bar sells only Bud for \$2.50 and Miller for \$3.00.
- Sally is querying Sells for the highest and lowest price Joe charges.
- Joe decides to stop selling Bud and Miller, but to sell only Heineken at \$3.50.

Sally's Program

- Sally executes the following two SQL statements, which we call (min) and (max), to help remember what they do.
- (max) SELECT MAX(price) FROM Sells WHERE bar = 'Joe''s Bar';
- (min) SELECT MIN(price) FROM Sells WHERE bar = 'Joe''s Bar';

Joe's Program

- At about the same time, Joe executes the following steps, which have the mnemonic names (del) and (ins).
- (del) DELETE FROM Sells WHERE bar = 'Joe''s Bar';
- (ins) INSERT INTO Sells VALUES(' Joe' 's Bar', 'Heineken', 3.50);

Interleaving of Statements

 Although (max) must come before (min), and (del) must come before (ins), there are no other constraints on the order of these statements, unless we group Sally's and/or Joe's statements into transactions.

11

Example: Strange Interleaving

 Suppose the steps execute in the order (max)(del)(ins)(min).

Joe's Prices:	2.50, 3.00	2.50, 3.00		3.50
Statement:	(max)	(del)	(ins)	(min)
Result:				

Sally sees ...

Fixing the Problem by Using Transactions

- If we group Sally's statements (max) (min) into one transaction, then she cannot see this inconsistency.
- She sees Joe's prices at some fixed time.
 - Either before or after he changes prices, or in the middle, but the MAX and MIN are computed from the same prices.

Another Problem: Rollback

- Suppose Joe executes (del)(ins), not as a transaction, but after executing these statements, thinks better of it and issues a ROLLBACK statement.
- If Sally executes her statements after (ins) but before the rollback, she sees a value, 3.50, that never existed in the database.

Solution

- If Joe executes (del)(ins) as a transaction, its effect cannot be seen by others until the transaction executes COMMIT.
 - If the transaction executes ROLLBACK instead, then its effects can *never* be seen.

15

17

13

Isolation Levels

- SQL defines four *isolation levels* = choices about what interactions are allowed by transactions that execute at about the same time.
- How a DBMS implements these isolation levels is highly complex, and a typical DBMS provides its own options.

16

14

Choosing the Isolation Level

Within a transaction, we can say:
 SET TRANSACTION ISOLATION LEVEL X

where X =

- 1. SERIALIZABLE
- 2. REPEATABLE READ
- 3. READ COMMITTED
- 4. READ UNCOMMITTED

Serializable Transactions

- If Sally = (max)(min) and Joe = (del)(ins) are each transactions, and Sally runs with isolation level SERIALIZABLE, then she will see the database either before or after Joe runs, but not in the middle.
- It's up to the DBMS vendor to figure out how to do that, e.g.:
 - True isolation in time.
 - Keep Joe's old prices around to answer Sally's queries.

Read-Commited Transactions

- If Sally runs with isolation level READ COMMITTED, then she can see only committed data, but not necessarily the same data each time.
- Example: Under READ COMMITTED, the interleaving (max)(del)(ins)(min) is allowed, as long as Joe commits.
 Sally sees MAX < MIN.

Repeatable-Read Transactions

- Requirement is like read-committed, plus: if data is read again, then everything seen the first time will be seen the second time.
 - But the second and subsequent reads may see more tuples as well.

Example: Repeatable Read

- Suppose Sally runs under REPEATABLE READ, and the order of execution is (max)(del)(ins)(min).
 - (max) sees prices 2.50 and 3.00.
 - (min) can see 3.50, but must also see 2.50 and 3.00, because they were seen on the earlier read by (max).

21

Read Uncommitted

- A transaction running under READ UNCOMMITTED can see data in the database, even if it was written by a transaction that has not committed (and may never).
- Example: If Sally runs under READ UNCOMMITTED, she could see a price 3.50 even if Joe later aborts.

22

Practice in Oracle 11g

- Within a transaction, we can say:
 SET TRANSACTION ISOLATION LEVEL X
 - where X =
 - 1. SERIALIZABLE
 - 2. READ COMMITTED
- Set autocommit off / on