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## Introduction : matrix normal forms

Given a transformation $B=f(A)$,

- Identifiy invariants
- Unique representant of an equivalence class
- Simplify computations (structured form)

Different types:

Equivalence over a field: $B=U A$, where $U$ is invertible

- Reduced echelon form:

$$
E=\left[\begin{array}{lllllll}
1 & * & 0 & * & * & 0 & * \\
& & 1 & * & * & 0 & * \\
& & & & & 1 & *
\end{array}\right]
$$

- Gauss-Jordan elimination


## Introduction : matrix normal forms

Given a transformation $B=f(A)$,

- Identifiy invariants
- Unique representant of an equivalence class
- Simplify computations (structured form)

Different types:

Equivalence over a ring: $B=U A$, where $\operatorname{det}(U)= \pm 1$

- Hermite normal form:

$$
0 \leq x_{*, j}<p_{j}
$$

$$
H=\left[\begin{array}{ccccccc}
p_{1} & * & x_{1,2} & * & * & x_{1,3} & * \\
& p_{2} & * & * & x_{2,3} & * \\
& & & & p_{3} & * \\
& & & & & &
\end{array}\right] \text {, with }
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## Introduction : matrix normal forms

Given a transformation $B=f(A)$,

- Identifiy invariants
- Unique representant of an equivalence class
- Simplify computations (structured form)

Different types:

## Similarity over a field: $B=U^{-1} A U$

- Frobenius normal form (or canonical rational form):

$$
F=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
C_{P_{0}} & C_{P_{1}} & & \\
& & \ddots & \\
& & & C_{P_{k}}
\end{array}\right] \text {, with } p_{i+1} \mid p_{i} \text { and } P_{0}=\operatorname{MinPoly}(A) .
$$

- Krylov method or ZigZag elimination


## Motivation

## Equivalence over a field: Gaussian elimination

- Reduced echelon form, rank profile: PolSys-Gröbner basis.
- Linear system solving: sieves, index calculus, ...


## Equivalence over a ring: lattice reduction

- Hermite normal form: $\mathbb{Z}$-modules and their saturation
- short vector problem:
- hard problem
- help improve computational complexities


## Complexities

Matrix multiplication: door to fast linear algebra

- over a field: $\left.\left.\mathcal{O}\left(n^{\omega}\right) \cdot \omega \in\right] 2.3727,3\right]$ (exponent of linear algebra)
- over $\mathbb{Z}: \mathcal{O}\left(n^{\omega} M(\log \|A\|)\right)=\mathcal{O}^{\sim}\left(n^{\omega} \log \|A\|\right)$

Equivalence over a field: Reduced echelon form

- Gauss-Jordan:
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Equivalence over $\mathbb{Z}$ : Hermite normal form

- [Kannan \& Bachem 79]:
- [Chou \& Collins 82]:
- [Domich \& Al. 87], [Illiopoulos 89]:
- [Micciancio \& Warinschi01]:
- 

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\in P \\
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\mathcal{O}^{\sim}\left(n^{3} \log \|A\|\right) \text { heur. } \\
\mathcal{O}^{\sim}\left(n^{\omega+1} \log \|A\|\right)
\end{array}
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Similarity over a field: Frobenius normal form

- [Storjohann00]((%5Cmathcal%7BO%7D%5E%7B%5Csim%7D%5Cleft(n%5E%7B%5Comega%7D%5Cright))):
- [P. \& Storjohann07]: Las Vegas without $U$


## Motivation

## Algorithmic building blocks in the design of efficient computation of exact linear algebra normal forms.

## In brief

Reductions to a building block
Matrix Mult: block rec. $\sum_{i=1}^{\log n} n\left(\frac{n}{2^{i}}\right)^{\omega-1}=\mathcal{O}\left(n^{\omega}\right) \quad$ (Gauss, REF)
Matrix Mult: Iterative $\sum_{k=1}^{n} n\left(\frac{n}{k}\right)^{\omega-1}=\mathcal{O}\left(n^{\omega}\right) \quad$ (Frobenius)
Linear Sys: over $\mathbb{Z}$
(Hermite Normal Form)
Size/dimension compromises

- Hermite normal form : rank 1 updates reducing the determinant
- Frobenius normal form : degree $k$, dimension $n / k$ for $k=1 \ldots n$
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## Size/dimension compromises

- Hermite normal form : rank 1 updates reducing the determinant
- Frobenius normal form : degree $k$, dimension $n / k$ for $k=1 \ldots n$

Study originating from, the design of the libraries

- FFLAS-FFPACK: dense over word size $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$.
- M4RI: dense over GF(2) (see Martin Albrecht's Talk)
- LinBox: dense/sparse/black-box over $\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}_{p}$


## Outline

Reduced Echelon forms and Gaussian elimination
Gaussian elimination based matrix decompositions
Relations between decompositions
Algorithms

Hermite normal form
Micciancio \& Warinschi algorithm
Double Determinant
AddCol

Frobenius normal form
Krylov method
Algorithm
Reduction to matrix multiplication

## Outline

## Reduced Echelon forms and Gaussian elimination <br> Gaussian elimination based matrix decompositions <br> Relations between decompositions <br> Algorithms

## Hermite normal form <br> Micciancio \& Warinschi algorithm Double Determinant AddCol

## Reduced echelon form and Gaussian elimination

Gaussian elimination < Reduction to red. Echelon form

- Extensively studied for numerical computations
- Specificities of exact computations:
- No partial/full pivoting
- Rank profile matters
- size of coefficients (e.g. compressed in GF(2))
$\Rightarrow$ asymmetry


## LU decomposition



- $L$ unit lower triangular,
- $U$ non-sing upper triangular

Exists for

- matrices having the generic rank profile (every leading principal minor is non zero)


## LUP, PLU decomposition



- $P$ a permutation matrix


## Exists for

- Any non-singular matrix
- Or any matrix with generic row rank profile
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## LSP, LQUP, PLUQ decompositions



- S: semi-upper triangular,
- Q permutation matrix

Exists for

- any $m \times n$ matrix


## Echelon form decomposition

Row Echelon Form $X A=R$


- $X, Y$ : non-singular transformation matrices
- $R, C$ : matrices in row/col echelon form


## Echelon form decomposition

Row Echelon Form $X A=R$


Column Echelon Form $A Y=C$


- $X, Y$ : non-singular transformation matrices
- $R, C$ : matrices in row/col echelon form


## Reduced echelon form decomposition

Row Reduced Echelon Form $X A=R$


- $X, Y$ : non-singular transformation matrices
- $R, C$ : matrices in reduced row/col echelon form


## Reduced echelon form decomposition

Row Reduced Echelon Form $X A=R$


Column Reduced Echelon Form $A Y=C$


- $X, Y$ : non-singular transformation matrices
- $R, C$ : matrices in reduced row/col echelon form


## CUP and PLE decompositions



- C: column echelon form
- E: row echelon form

Exists for

- any $m \times n$ matrix


## CUP and PLE decompositions



- C: column echelon form
- E: row echelon form

Exists for

- any $m \times n$ matrix


## Relations: up to permutations

From LSP to LQUP

$$
S=Q U
$$



## Fact

The first $r=\operatorname{rank}(A)$ values of the permutation $Q$ are monotonically increasing.

## Relations: up to permutations

From LQUP to CUP

$$
C=L Q
$$



## Relations: up to permutations

From LQUP to CUP

$$
C=L Q
$$



| $\mathbf{Q}$ |
| :---: |
| $\mathbf{Q}$ |
| $\mathbf{U}$ |



From LQUP to PLE
Using transposition:

$$
\operatorname{PLE}\left(A^{T}\right)=C U P(A)^{T}
$$

## Relations:

## From LQUP to PLUQ

$$
P \leftrightarrow Q, L \leftarrow=Q^{T} L Q
$$



## Algorithms: main types

Three ways to group operations:

1. simple iterative

- Apply the standard Gaussian elimination in dimension $n$
- Main loop for $\mathrm{i}=1$ to n

2. block algorithms
2.1 block iterative (Tile)

- Apply Gaussian elimination in dimension $n / k$ over blocks of size $k$
- Main loop: for $\mathrm{i}=1$ to $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{k}$
2.2 block recursive
- Apply Gaussian elimination in dimension 2 recursively on blocks of size $n / 2^{i}$
- Main loop: for $\mathrm{i}=1$ to 2


## Type of algorithms

Data locality: prefer block algorithms

- cache aware: block iterative
- cache oblivious: block recursive

Base case efficieny: simple iterative
Asymptotic time complexity: block recursive
Parallelization: block iterative

## Block recursive gaussian elimination

| Author | Year | Computation | Requirement |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Strassen | 69 | Inverse | gen. rank prof. |
| Bunch, Hopcroft | 74 | LUP | gen. row rank |
| lbarra, Moran, Hui | 82 | LSP, LQUP | none |
| Schönage, Keller-Gerig | 85 | StepForm | none |
| Storjohann | 00 | Echelon, RedEch | none |
| here | 11 | CUP,PLE,PLUQ | none |
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## Comparison according to:

- No requirement on the input matrix
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## Block recursive gaussian elimination

| Author | Year | Computation | Requirement |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Strassen | 69 | Inverse | gen. rank prof. |
| Bunch, Hopcroft | 74 | LUP | gen. row rank |
| Ibarra, Moran, Hui | 82 | LSP, LQUP | none |
| Schönage, Keller-Gerig | 85 | StepForm | none |
| Storjohann | 00 | Echelon, RedEch | none |
| here | 11 | CUP,PLE,PLUQ | none |

## Comparison according to:

- No requirement on the input matrix
- Rank sensitive complexity
- Memory allocations
- Constant factor in the time complexity


## Memory requirements:

## Definition

In place = output overrides the input and computation does not need extra memory (considering Matrix multiplication $C \leftarrow C+A B$ as a black box)

Remark: a unit lower triangular and an upper triangular matrix can be stored on the same $m \times n$ storage!

## Preliminaries

## TRSM: TRiangular Solve with Matrix

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ll}
A & B \\
& C
\end{array}\right]^{-1}\left[\begin{array}{l}
D \\
E
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
A^{-1} & \\
& I
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{cc}
I & -B \\
& I
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{ll}
I & \\
& C^{-} 1
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
D \\
E
\end{array}\right]
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## Preliminaries

## TRSM: TRiangular Solve with Matrix

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ll}
A & B \\
& C
\end{array}\right]^{-1}\left[\begin{array}{l}
D \\
E
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
A^{-1} & \\
& I
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{cc}
I & -B \\
& I
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{ll}
I & \\
& C^{-} 1
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
D \\
E
\end{array}\right]
$$

Compute $F=C^{-1} E$
Compute $G=D-B F$
Compute $H=A^{-1} G$
(Recursive call)
(MM)
(Recursive call)
Return $\left[\begin{array}{l}H \\ F\end{array}\right]$


- $\mathcal{O}\left(n^{\omega}\right)$
- In place


## The CUP decomposition

## 1. Split $A$ Row-wise
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## The CUP decomposition



1. Split $A$ Row-wise
2. Recursive call on $A_{1}$
3. $G \leftarrow A_{21} U_{1}^{-1}$ (trsm)
4. $H \leftarrow A_{22}-G \times V$ (MM)
5. Recursive call on $H$

## The CUP decomposition



1. Split $A$ Row-wise
2. Recursive call on $A_{1}$
3. $G \leftarrow A_{21} U_{1}^{-1}$ (trsm)
4. $H \leftarrow A_{22}-G \times V$ (MM)
5. Recursive call on $H$
6. Row permutations

## Memory: LSP vs LQUP vs PLUQ vs CUP

| Decomposition | In place |
| :--- | :--- |
| LSP | N |
| LQUP | N |
| PLUQ | Y |
| CUP | Y |

## Echelon forms

From CUP to ColumnEchelon form

$$
\begin{aligned}
Y & =P^{T}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
U_{1} & U_{2} \\
& I_{n-r}
\end{array}\right]^{-1} \\
& =P^{T}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
U_{1}^{-1} & -U_{1}^{-1} U_{2} \\
& I_{n-r}
\end{array}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$



Additional operations:

$$
-U^{-1} U_{2} \text { trsm (triangular system solve) in-place }
$$
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Additional operations:
$-U^{-1} U_{2}$ trsm (triangular system solve) in-place $U_{1}^{-1}$ : trtri (triangular inverse)

## Echelon forms

From CUP to ColumnEchelon form

$$
\begin{aligned}
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& =P^{T}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
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Additional operations:
$-U^{-1} U_{2}$ trsm (triangular system solve) in-place $U_{1}^{-1}$ : trtri (triangular inverse) in-place

## From CUP to Column Echelon form

## TRTRI: triangular inverse

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ll}
U_{1} & U_{2} \\
& U_{3}
\end{array}\right]^{-1}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
U_{1}^{-1} & -U_{1}^{-1} U_{2} U_{3}^{-1} \\
U_{3}^{-1}
\end{array}\right]
$$

1: if $n=1$ then
2: $\quad U \leftarrow U^{-1}$
3: else
4: $\quad U_{2} \leftarrow U_{3}^{-1} U_{2}$
TRSM
5: $\quad U_{2} \leftarrow-U_{2} U_{3}^{-1}$
TRSM
6: $\quad U_{1} \leftarrow U_{1}^{-1}$
7: $\quad U_{3} \leftarrow U_{3}^{-1}$
8: end if

## Reduced Echelon forms

From Col. Echelon form to Reduced Col. Echelon form

$$
Z=Y\left[\begin{array}{ll}
M & \\
& I_{n-r}
\end{array}\right]^{-1}
$$



Similarly, from PLE to RowEchelon form
Again reduces to:
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\end{aligned}
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Similarly, from PLE to RowEchelon form
Again reduces to:

$$
\begin{aligned}
U^{-1} X & : \text { TRSM, in-place } \\
U^{-1} & : \text { TRTRI, in-place } \\
U L & : \text { TRTRM, in-place }
\end{aligned}
$$

## From Echelon to Reduced Echelon

TRTRM: triangular triangular multiplication

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ll}
U_{1} & U_{2} \\
& U_{3}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{ll}
L_{1} & \\
L_{2} & L_{3}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
U_{1} L_{1}+U_{2} L_{2} & U_{2} L_{3} \\
U_{3} L_{2} & U_{3} L_{3}
\end{array}\right]
$$

$$
\begin{array}{lr}
\text { 1: } X_{1} \leftarrow U_{1} L_{1} & \text { TRTRM } \\
\text { 2: } X_{1} \leftarrow X_{1}+U_{2} L_{2} & \text { MM } \\
\text { 3: } X_{2} \leftarrow U_{2} L_{3} & \text { TRMM } \\
\text { 4: } X_{3} \leftarrow U_{3} L_{2} & \text { TRMM } \\
\text { 5: } X_{4} \leftarrow U_{3} L_{3} & \text { TRTRM }
\end{array}
$$

## From Echelon to Reduced Echelon

TRTRM: triangular triangular multiplication

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ll}
U_{1} & U_{2} \\
& U_{3}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{ll}
L_{1} & \\
L_{2} & L_{3}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
U_{1} L_{1}+U_{2} L_{2} & U_{2} L_{3} \\
U_{3} L_{2} & U_{3} L_{3}
\end{array}\right]
$$

$$
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\text { 1: } X_{1} \leftarrow U_{1} L_{1} & \text { TRTRM } \\
\text { 2: } X_{1} \leftarrow X_{1}+U_{2} L_{2} & \text { MM } \\
\text { 3: } X_{2} \leftarrow U_{2} L_{3} & \text { TRMM } \\
\text { 4: } X_{3} \leftarrow U_{3} L_{2} & \text { TRMM } \\
\text { 5: } X_{4} \leftarrow U_{3} L_{3} & \text { TRTRM }
\end{array}
$$
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## From Echelon to Reduced Echelon

TRTRM: triangular triangular multiplication
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\left[\begin{array}{ll}
U_{1} & U_{2} \\
& U_{3}
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L_{1} & \\
L_{2} & L_{3}
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## From Echelon to Reduced Echelon

## TRTRM: triangular triangular multiplication

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ll}
U_{1} & U_{2} \\
& U_{3}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{ll}
L_{1} & \\
L_{2} & L_{3}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
U_{1} L_{1}+U_{2} L_{2} & U_{2} L_{3} \\
U_{3} L_{2} & U_{3} L_{3}
\end{array}\right]
$$

$$
\begin{array}{lr}
\text { 1: } X_{1} \leftarrow U_{1} L_{1} & \text { TRTRM } \\
\text { 2: } X_{1} \leftarrow X_{1}+U_{2} L_{2} & \text { MM } \\
\text { 3: } X_{2} \leftarrow U_{2} L_{3} & \text { TRMM } \\
\text { 4: } X_{3} \leftarrow U_{3} L_{2} & \text { TRMM } \\
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- $\mathcal{O}\left(n^{\omega}\right)$
- In place


## Example: in place matrix inversion



## Example: in place matrix inversion



$$
A=L U
$$

## Example: in place matrix inversion



$$
A U^{-1}=L
$$

## Example: in place matrix inversion



$$
A\left(U^{-1} L^{-1}\right)=I
$$

## Experiments



## Direct computation of the Reduced Echelon form

- Strassen 69: inverse of generic matrices
- Storjohann 00: Gauss-Jordan generalization for any rank profile


## Matrix Inversion [Strassen 69]

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ll}
A & B \\
C & D
\end{array}\right]^{-1}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
A^{-1} & \\
& I
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{cc}
I & -B \\
& I
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{ll}
I & \\
& \left(D-C A^{-1} B\right)^{-1}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{cc}
I & \\
C A^{-1} & I
\end{array}\right]
$$

## Direct computation of the Reduced Echelon form

- Strassen 69: inverse of generic matrices
- Storjohann 00: Gauss-Jordan generalization for any rank profile


## Matrix Inversion [Strassen 69]

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ll}
A & B \\
C & D
\end{array}\right]^{-1}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
A^{-1} & \\
& I
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{cc}
I & -B \\
& I
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{ll}
I & \\
& \left(D-C A^{-1} B\right)^{-1}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{cc}
I & \\
C A^{-1} & I
\end{array}\right]
$$

1: Compute $E=A^{-1}$
(Recursive call)
2: Compute $F=D-C E B$
(MM)

3: Compute $G=F^{-1}$
(Recursive call)
4: Compute $H=-E B$
5: Compute $J=H G$
6: Compute $K=C E$
7: Compute $L=E+J K$
8: Compute $M=G K$ (MM)

9: Return $\left[\begin{array}{cc}E & J \\ M & G\end{array}\right]$

## Strassen-Storjohann's Gauss-Jordan elimination

## Problem

Needs to perform operations of the form $A \leftarrow A B$
$\Rightarrow$ not doable in place by a usual matrix multiplication algorithm

## Strassen-Storjohann's Gauss-Jordan elimination

## Problem

Needs to perform operations of the form $A \leftarrow A B$
$\Rightarrow$ not doable in place by a usual matrix multiplication algorithm
Workaround [Storjohann]:

1. Decompose $B=L U$
2. $A \leftarrow A L$
3. $A \leftarrow A U$

## Rank sensitive time complexity

## Fact

Algorithms LSP, CUP, LQUP, PLUQ, ... have a rank sensitive computation time: $\mathcal{O}\left(m n r^{\omega-2}\right)$


## Time complexity: comparing constants

$$
\mathcal{O}\left(n^{\omega}\right)=C_{\omega} n^{3}
$$

| Algorithm | Constant $C_{\omega}$ | $C_{3}$ | $C_{\log _{2} 7}$ | in-place |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MM | $C_{\omega}$ | 2 | 6 | $\times$ |
| TRSM | $\frac{C_{\omega}}{2 \omega-1-2}$ | 1 | 4 | $v$ |
| TRTRI | $\frac{c_{\omega}}{\left(2^{\omega-1}-2\right)\left(2^{\omega-1}-1\right)}$ | $\frac{1}{3} \approx 0.33$ | $\frac{8}{5}=1.6$ | V |
| TRTRM, CUP PLUQ LQUP, | $\frac{C_{\omega}}{2 \omega-1-2}-\frac{c_{\omega}}{2 \omega-2}$ | $\frac{2}{3} \approx 0.66$ | $\frac{14}{5}=2.8$ | v |
| Echelon | $\frac{C_{\omega}}{2 \omega-2-1}-\frac{3 C_{\omega}}{2 \omega-2}$ | 1 | $\frac{22}{5} \approx 4.4$ | $v$ |
| RedEchelon | $\frac{c_{\omega}\left(2^{\omega-1}+2\right)}{\left(2^{\omega}-1\right.}$ | 2 | $\frac{44}{5}=8.8$ | $v$ |
| StepForm | $\frac{5 C_{\omega}}{2^{\omega-1}-1}+\frac{c_{\omega}}{\left(2^{\omega-1}-1\right)\left(2^{\omega-2}-1\right)}$ | 4 | $\frac{76}{5}=15.2$ | $\times$ |
| GJ* | $\frac{c_{\omega}}{2^{\omega-2}-1}$ | 2 | 8 | $\times$ |

*: GJ: GaussJordan alg of [Storjohann00]((%5Cmathcal%7BO%7D%5E%7B%5Csim%7D%5Cleft(n%5E%7B%5Comega%7D%5Cright))) computing the reduced echelon form

## Applications to standard linalg problems

| Problem | Using | $C_{\omega}$ | $C_{3}$ | $C_{\log _{2} 7}$ | In place |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rank |  |  |  |  |  |
| RankProfile | GJ | $\frac{C_{\omega}}{2^{\omega-2}-1}$ | 2 | 8 | $\times$ |
| IsSingular | CUP | $\frac{C_{\omega}}{2^{\omega-1}-2}-\frac{C_{\omega}}{2^{\omega}-2}$ | 0.66 | 2.8 | $V$ |
| Det |  |  |  |  |  |
| Solve | GJ | $\frac{C_{\omega}}{2^{\omega-2}-1}$ | 2 | 8 | $\times$ |
| Inverse | CUP | $\frac{C^{\omega}-1}{\left(2^{\omega-1}-2\right)\left(2^{\omega-1}-1\right)}$ | 2 | 8.8 | $V$ |

## Summary
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## Computing Hermite Normal form

Equivalence over a ring: $H=U A$, where $\operatorname{det}(U)= \pm 1$
Hermite normal form: $H=\left[\begin{array}{rrrl}p_{1} & * x_{1,2} & * & * x_{1,3} \\ & p_{2} & * * & * \\ & & x_{2,3} & * \\ & & p_{3} & *\end{array}\right]$, with $0 \leq x_{*, j}<p_{j}$
Reduced Echelon form over a Ring

## Improving Micciancio-Warinshi algorihm

- $\mathcal{O}\left(n^{5} \log \|A\|\right)$ (heuristically: $\left.\mathcal{O}^{\sim}\left(n^{3} \log \|A\|\right)\right)$
- space: $\mathcal{O}\left(n^{2} \log \|A\|\right)$
$\Rightarrow$ Good on random matrices, common in num. theory, crypto.


## Computing Hermite Normal form

Equivalence over a ring: $H=U A$, where $\operatorname{det}(U)= \pm 1$
Hermite normal form: $H=\left[\begin{array}{cccccccc}p_{1} & * & x_{1,2} & * & * & x_{1,3} & * \\ & & p_{2} & * & * & x_{2,3} & * \\ & & & & & p_{3} & *\end{array}\right]$, with $0 \leq x_{*, j}<p_{j}$
Reduced Echelon form over a Ring

## Improving Micciancio-Warinshi algorihm

- $\mathcal{O}\left(n^{5} \log \|A\|\right)$ (heuristically: $\left.\mathcal{O}^{\sim}\left(n^{3} \log \|A\|\right)\right)$
- space: $\mathcal{O}\left(n^{2} \log \|A\|\right)$
$\Rightarrow$ Good on random matrices, common in num. theory, crypto. Implementation, reduction to building blocks:
- LinSys over $\mathbb{Z}$,
- CUP and MatMul over $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$


## Naive algorithm

1 begin

| 2 | foreach $i$ do |
| :---: | :---: |
| 3 | $\left(g, t_{i}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)=\operatorname{xgcd}\left(A_{i, i}, A_{i+1, i}, \ldots, A_{n, i}\right) ;$ |
| 4 | $L_{i} \leftarrow \sum_{j=i+1}^{n} t_{j} L_{j}$; |
| 5 | for $j=i+1 \ldots n$ do |
| 6 | $\left\lfloor L_{j} \leftarrow L_{j}-\frac{A_{j, i}}{g} L_{i} ;\right.$ |
| 7 | for $j=1 \ldots i-1$ do |
| 8 | $\left\lfloor L_{j} \leftarrow L_{j}-\left\lfloor\frac{A_{j, i}}{g}\right\rfloor L_{i} ;\right.$ |

/* eliminate */
/* reduce */

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccccccc}
p_{1} & * & x_{1,2} & * & * & x_{1,3} & * \\
& & p_{2} & * & * & x_{2,3} & * \\
& & & & & p_{3} & *
\end{array}\right]
$$

## Computing modulo the determinant [Domich \& AI. 87]

## Property

For $A$ non-singular: $\max _{i} \sum_{j} H_{i j} \leq \operatorname{det} H$

## Example

$$
\begin{gathered}
A=\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}
-5 & 8 & -3 & -9 & 5 & 5 \\
-2 & 8 & -2 & -2 & 8 & 5 \\
7 & -5 & -8 & 4 & 3 & -4 \\
1 & -1 & 6 & 0 & 8 & -3
\end{array}\right], H=\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}
1 & 0 & 3 & 237 & -299 & 90 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 103 & -130 & 40 \\
0 & 0 & 4 & 352 & -450 & 135 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 486 & -627 & 188
\end{array}\right] \\
\operatorname{det} A=1944
\end{gathered}
$$

## Computing modulo the determinant [Domich \& AI. 87]

## Property

For $A$ non-singular: $\max _{i} \sum_{j} H_{i j} \leq \operatorname{det} H$

## Example

$$
\begin{gathered}
A=\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}
-5 & 8 & -3 & -9 & 5 & 5 \\
-2 & 8 & -2 & -2 & 8 & 5 \\
7 & -5 & -8 & 4 & 3 & -4 \\
1 & -1 & 6 & 0 & 8 & -3
\end{array}\right], H=\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}
1 & 0 & 3 & 237 & -299 & 90 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 103 & -130 & 40 \\
0 & 0 & 4 & 352 & -450 & 135 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 486 & -627 & 188
\end{array}\right] \\
\operatorname{det} A=1944
\end{gathered}
$$

Moreover, every computation can be done modulo $d=\operatorname{det} A$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& U^{\prime}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
A & \\
d I_{n} & I_{n}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
H & \\
& I_{n}
\end{array}\right] \\
& \Rightarrow \mathcal{O}\left(n^{3}\right) \times M(n(\log n+\log \|A\|))=\mathcal{O}^{\sim}\left(n^{4} \log \|A\|\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Computing modulo the determinant

- Pessimistic estimate on the arithmetic size
- $d$ large but most coefficients of $H$ are small
- On the average : only the last few columns are large
$\Rightarrow$ Compute $H^{\prime}$ close to $H$ but with small determinant


## Computing modulo the determinant

- Pessimistic estimate on the arithmetic size
- $d$ large but most coefficients of $H$ are small
- On the average : only the last few columns are large
$\Rightarrow$ Compute $H^{\prime}$ close to $H$ but with small determinant [Micciancio \& Warinschi 01]

$$
\begin{gathered}
A=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
B & b \\
c^{T} & a_{n-1, n} \\
d^{T} & a_{n, n}
\end{array}\right] \\
d_{1}=\operatorname{det}\left(\left[\begin{array}{c}
B \\
c^{T}
\end{array}\right]\right), d_{2}=\operatorname{det}\left(\left[\begin{array}{c}
B \\
d^{T}
\end{array}\right]\right) \\
g=\operatorname{gcd}\left(d_{1}, d_{2}\right)=s d_{1}+t d_{2} \text { Then }
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(\left[\begin{array}{c}
B \\
s c^{T}+t d^{T}
\end{array}\right]\right)=g
$$



## Micciancio \& Warinschi algorithm

1 begin
$2 \quad$ Compute $d_{1}=\operatorname{det}\left(\left[\begin{array}{c}B \\ c^{T}\end{array}\right]\right), d_{2}=\operatorname{det}\left(\left[\begin{array}{c}B \\ d^{T}\end{array}\right]\right)$;

$$
(g, s, t)=\operatorname{xgcd}\left(d_{1}, d_{2}\right) ;
$$

Compute $H_{1}$ the HNF of $\left[\begin{array}{c}B \\ s c^{T}+t d^{T}\end{array}\right] \bmod g ; \quad / *$ Modular HNF */
Recover $H_{2}$ the HNF of $\left[\begin{array}{cc}B & b \\ s c^{T}+t d^{T} & s a_{n-1, n}+t a_{n, n}\end{array}\right] ; \quad \quad l^{*}$ AddCol */
Recover $H_{3}$ the HNF of $\left[\begin{array}{cc}B & b \\ c^{T} & a_{n-1, n} \\ d^{T} & a_{n, n}\end{array}\right]$;

## Micciancio \& Warinschi algorithm

1 begin
$2 \quad$ Compute $d_{1}=\operatorname{det}\left(\left[\begin{array}{c}B \\ c^{T}\end{array}\right]\right), d_{2}=\operatorname{det}\left(\left[\begin{array}{c}B \\ d^{T}\end{array}\right]\right)$;

$$
(g, s, t)=\operatorname{xgcd}\left(d_{1}, d_{2}\right)
$$

Compute $H_{1}$ the HNF of $\left[\begin{array}{c}B \\ s c^{T}+t d^{T}\end{array}\right] \quad \bmod g ; \quad / *$ Modular HNF */
Recover $H_{2}$ the HNF of $\left[\begin{array}{cc}B & b \\ s c^{T}+t d^{T} & s a_{n-1, n}+t a_{n, n}\end{array}\right] ; \quad \quad$ /* AddCol */
Recover $H_{3}$ the HNF of $\left[\begin{array}{cc}B & b \\ c^{T} & a_{n-1, n} \\ d^{T} & a_{n, n}\end{array}\right]$;
/* AddRow */

## Double Determinant

First approach: LU $\bmod p_{1}, \ldots, p_{k}+$ CRT

- Only one elimination for the $n-2$ first rows
- 2 updates for the last rows (triangular back substitution)
- $k$ large such that $\prod_{i=1}^{k} p_{i}>n^{n} \log \|A\|^{n / 2}$


## Double Determinant

## First approach: LU mod $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{k}+$ CRT

- Only one elimination for the $n-2$ first rows
- 2 updates for the last rows (triangular back substitution)
- $k$ large such that $\prod_{i=1}^{k} p_{i}>n^{n} \log \|A\|^{n / 2}$


## Second approach: [Abbott Bronstein Mulders 99]

- Solve $A x=b$.
- $\delta=\operatorname{lcm}\left(q_{1}, \ldots, q_{n}\right)$ s.t. $x_{i}=p_{i} / q_{i}$

Then $\delta$ is a large divisor of $D=\operatorname{det} A$.

- Compute $D / \delta$ by LU $\bmod p_{1}, \ldots, p_{k}+$ CRT
- $k$ small, such that $\prod_{i=1}^{k} p_{i}>n^{n} \log \|A\|^{n / 2} / \delta$


## Double Determinant : improved

## Property

Let $x=\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ be the solution of $[A \mid c] x=d$. Then $y=\left[-\frac{x_{1}}{x_{n}}, \ldots,-\frac{x_{n-1}}{x_{n}}, \frac{1}{x_{n}}\right]$ is the solution of $[A \mid d] y=c$.

- 1 system solve
- 1 LU for each $p_{i}$
$\Rightarrow d_{1}, d_{2}$ computed at about the cost of 1 déterminant


## AddCol

## Problem

Find a vector e such that

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c|c}
H_{1} & e]=U\left[\begin{array}{cc}
B & b \\
s c^{T}+t d^{T} & s a_{n-1, n}+t a_{n, n}
\end{array}\right], ~
\end{array}\right.
$$

$$
e=U\left[\begin{array}{c}
b \\
s a_{n-1, n}+t a_{n, n}
\end{array}\right]
$$

$$
=H_{1}\left[\begin{array}{c}
B \\
s c^{T}+t d^{T}
\end{array}\right]^{-1}\left[\begin{array}{c}
b \\
s a_{n-1, n}+t a_{n, n}
\end{array}\right]
$$

$\Rightarrow$ Solve a system.

- $n-1$ first rows are small
- last row is large


## AddCol

## Idea:

replace the last row by a random small one $w^{T}$.

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c}
B \\
w^{T}
\end{array}\right] y=\left[\begin{array}{c}
b \\
a_{n-1, n-1}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Let $k$ be a basis of the kernel of $B$. Then

$$
x=y+\alpha k
$$

where

$$
\alpha=\frac{a_{n-1, n-1}-\left(s c^{T}+t d^{T}\right) \cdot y}{\left(s c^{T}+t d^{T}\right) \cdot k}
$$

$\Rightarrow$ limits the expensive arithmetic to a few dot products

## Outline

## Reduced Echelon forms and Gaussian elimination <br> Gaussian elimination based matrix decompositions <br> Relations between decompositions <br> Algorithms

Hermite normal form
Micciancio \& Warinschi algorithm
Double Determinant
AddCol

Frobenius normal form
Krylov method
Algorithm
Reduction to matrix multiplication

## Krylov Method

## Definition (degree $d$ Krylov matrix of one vector $v$ )

$$
K=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
v & A v & \ldots & A^{d-1} v
\end{array}\right]
$$

Property

$$
A \times K=K \times\left[\begin{array}{llll}
0 & & & * \\
1 & & & * \\
& \ddots & & * \\
& & 1 & *
\end{array}\right]
$$

## Krylov Method

## Definition (degree $d$ Krylov matrix of one vector $v$ )

$$
K=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
v & A v & \ldots & A^{d-1} v
\end{array}\right]
$$

Property

$$
A \times K=K \times\left[\begin{array}{llll}
0 & & & * \\
1 & & & * \\
& \ddots & & * \\
& & 1 & *
\end{array}\right]
$$

$\Rightarrow$ if $d=n$,

$$
K^{-1} A K=C_{P_{c a r}^{A}}
$$

## Krylov Method

## Definition (degree $d$ Krylov matrix of one vector $v$ )

$$
K=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
v & A v & \ldots & A^{d-1} v
\end{array}\right]
$$

Property

$$
A \times K=K \times\left[\begin{array}{llll}
0 & & & * \\
1 & & & * \\
& \ddots & & * \\
& & 1 & *
\end{array}\right]
$$

$\Rightarrow$ if $d=n$,

$$
K^{-1} A K=C_{P_{c a r}^{A}}
$$

$\Rightarrow\left[\right.$ Keller-Gehrig, alg. 2] computes $K$ in $\mathcal{O}\left(n^{\omega} \log n\right)$

## Definition (degree $k$ Krylov matrix of several vectors $v_{i}$ )

$$
K=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
v_{1} & \ldots & A^{k-1} v_{1} \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{lll}
v_{2} & \ldots & A^{k-1} v_{2}
\end{array} \ldots\right. \\
\ldots & v_{l} & \ldots
\end{array} A^{k-1} v_{l}\right]
$$

## Property



## Hessenberg poly-cyclic form

## Fact

If $\left(d_{1}, \ldots d_{l}\right)$ is lexicographically maximal such that

$$
K=\left[\left.\begin{array}{lll}
v_{1} & \ldots & A^{d_{1}-1} v_{1}
\end{array} \ldots \right\rvert\, \begin{array}{llll}
v_{l} & \ldots & A^{d_{l}-1} v_{l}
\end{array}\right]
$$

is non-singular, then

$$
A \times K=K \times
$$



## Principle

$k$-shifted form:


## Principle

$k+1$-shifted form:


## Principle

- Compute iteratively from 1 -shifted form to $d_{1}$-shifted form


## Principle

- Compute iteratively from 1 -shifted form to $d_{1}$-shifted form
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How to transform from $k$ to $k+1$-shifted form ?

## Krylov normal extension
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## Krylov normal extension

for any $k$-shifted form

compute the $n \times(n+k)$ matrix

and form $K$ by picking its first linearly independent columns.
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## The algorithm

- Form $\bar{K}$ : just copy the columns of $A_{k}$
- Compute $K$ : rank profile of $\bar{K}$
- Apply the similarity transformation $K^{-1} A_{k} K$
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装

## Example

$$
\sum
$$
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## Lemma

If $\# F>2 n^{2}$, the transformation will succeed with high probability. Failure is detected.

## Lemma

If $\# F>2 n^{2}$, the transformation will succeed with high probability. Failure is detected.

How to use fast matrix arithmetic ?

## Permutations: compressing the dense columns
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## Reduction to Matrix multiplication

Similarity transformation: parenthesing

$$
K^{-1} A K=Q^{\prime-1}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
I & * \\
0 & *
\end{array}\right] P^{\prime-1} Q\left[\begin{array}{cc}
I & * \\
0 & *
\end{array}\right] P Q^{\prime}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
I & * \\
0 & *
\end{array}\right] P^{\prime}
$$
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I & * \\
0 & *
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I & * \\
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## Reduction to Matrix multiplication
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\begin{aligned}
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## Reduction to Matrix multiplication

Similarity transformation: parenthesing

$$
\begin{aligned}
K^{-1} A K=Q^{\prime-1} & \left(\left[\begin{array}{ll}
I & * \\
0 & *
\end{array}\right]\left(P^{\prime-1} Q\left(\left[\begin{array}{ll}
I & * \\
0 & *
\end{array}\right]\left(P Q^{\prime}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
I & * \\
0 & *
\end{array}\right]\right)\right)\right)\right) P^{\prime} \\
& \Rightarrow \mathcal{O}\left(k\left(\frac{n}{k}\right)^{\omega}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Overall complexity: summing for each iteration:

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{n} k\left(\frac{n}{k}\right)^{\omega}=n^{\omega} \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(\frac{1}{k}\right)^{\omega-1}=\zeta(\omega-1) n^{\omega}=\mathcal{O}\left(n^{\omega}\right)
$$

## A new type of reduction



## A new type of reduction



## A new type of reduction



New algorithm

dimension $=\frac{n}{2^{i}}$
degree $=2^{i}$
Keller-Gehrig 2

dimension $=\frac{n}{k}$
degree $=k$

## Conclusion

## Reductions to a building block

Matrix Mult: block rec. $\sum_{i=1}^{\log n} n\left(\frac{n}{2^{i}}\right)^{\omega-1}=\mathcal{O}\left(n^{\omega}\right) \quad$ (Gauss, REF)
Matrix Mult: Iterative $\sum_{k=1}^{n} n\left(\frac{n}{k}\right)^{\omega-1}=\mathcal{O}\left(n^{\omega}\right) \quad$ (Frobenius)
Linear Sys: over $\mathbb{Z}$
(Hermite Normal Form)

## Size/dimension compromises

- Hermite normal form : rank 1 updates reducing the determinant
- Frobenius normal form : degree $k$, dimension $n / k$ for $k=1 \ldots n$

