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## Motivation : model fitting



Problem
Recover an unknown function $f$, given as a black-box, from its evaluations.
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## Dense polynomial recovery

$$
\begin{gathered}
\xrightarrow[x \in F]{ } \quad f ? \quad f(x) \\
f=\sum_{i=0}^{k} c_{i} x^{i}
\end{gathered}
$$

without error: polynomial interpolation (Lagrange, Newton, etc).

$$
f(X)=\sum_{i=0}^{k} y_{i} \frac{L_{i}(X)}{L_{i}\left(x_{i}\right)}, \text { with } L_{i}=\prod_{j \neq i}\left(X-x_{j}\right)
$$

## Dense polynomial recovery

$$
\begin{gathered}
\underset{x \in F}{ }=f ? \quad f(x) \\
f=\sum_{i=0}^{k} c_{i} x^{i}
\end{gathered}
$$

without error: polynomial interpolation (Lagrange, Newton, etc).

$$
f(X)=\sum_{i=0}^{k} y_{i} \frac{L_{i}(X)}{L_{i}\left(x_{i}\right)}, \text { with } L_{i}=\prod_{j \neq i}\left(X-x_{j}\right)
$$

with errors: Reed-Solomon decoding

- $y_{i}=f\left(x_{i}\right)+e_{i}$ where the vector $\mathbf{e}$ is $t$-sparse.
- $\operatorname{Interp}(\mathbf{y})=f+\operatorname{Interp}(\mathbf{e})$
- [Blahut, 1984]: Interp(e) has linear cpxty $t$
- Berlekamp-Massey: error locator from the linear generating relation


## Reed-Solomon codes as Evaluation codes

$$
\mathcal{C}=\left\{\left(f\left(x_{1}\right), \ldots, f\left(x_{n}\right)\right) \mid \operatorname{deg} f<k\right\}
$$
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## Parameter oblivious decoding

Improving the correction capacity:

- With a fixed number $n$ of evaluations, the correction capacity depends on the degree of $f$ :

$$
\text { can correct up to } E \leq \frac{n-\operatorname{deg} f-1}{2}
$$

$\Rightarrow$ bounds on $\operatorname{deg} f$ : often pessimistic

## Parameter oblivious decoding

Improving the correction capacity:

- With a fixed number $n$ of evaluations, the correction capacity depends on the degree of $f$ :
can correct up to $E \leq \frac{n-\operatorname{deg} f-1}{2}$
$\Rightarrow$ bounds on $\operatorname{deg} f$ : often pessimistic
$\Rightarrow$ how to take advantage of all the available redundancy?
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Upper bound on deg $f$ redundancy used

- Achieved with Ext. Euclidean Alg. with various termination criteria [Khonji, Pernet, Roch, Roche and Stalinski, 2010]:
- divisibility check
- quotient likely to be large upon decoding iteration
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## Sparse Polynomial Interpolation

$$
\begin{gathered}
\xrightarrow[x \in F]{ } \\
f=\sum_{i=1}^{t} c_{i} x^{e_{i}}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Problem

Recover a $t$-sparse polynomial $f$ given a black-box computing evaluations of it.
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\end{gathered}
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## [Ben-Or and Tiwari, 1988$]$

- Let $a_{i}=f\left(p^{i}\right)$ for $p$ an element, and $\Lambda(z)=\prod_{i=1}^{t}\left(z-p^{e_{i}}\right)$.
- Then $\Lambda(z)$ is the minimal generator of the seq. $\left(a_{0}, a_{1}, \ldots\right)$.
$\Rightarrow$ only $2 t$ entries needed to find $\Lambda(\lambda)$
(Berlekamp-Massey)


## Sparse Polynomial Interpolation with errors

$$
\xrightarrow{x \in F} \quad f ? \quad f(x)+e
$$

## Problem

Recover a $t$-sparse polynomial $f$ given a black-box computing evaluations of it.

## [Ben-Or and Tiwari, 1988]

- Let $a_{i}=f\left(p^{i}\right)$ for $p$ an element, and $\Lambda(z)=\prod_{i=1}^{t}\left(z-p^{e_{i}}\right)$.
- Then $\Lambda(z)$ is the minimal generator of the seq. $\left(a_{0}, a_{1}, \ldots\right)$.
$\Rightarrow$ only $2 t$ entries needed to find $\Lambda(\lambda) \quad$ (Berlekamp-Massey)
[Comer, Kaltofen and Pernet, 2012]
$\Rightarrow$ only $2 t(2 E+1)$ entries needed with $e \leq E$ errors. using a fault-tolerant Berlekamp-Massey algorithm


## Fault tolerant Berlekam/Massey algorithm

## Problem statement

Suppose $\left(a_{0}, a_{1}, \ldots\right)$ is linearly generated by $\Lambda(z)$ of degree $t$ where $\Lambda(0) \neq 0$.
Given $\left(b_{0}, b_{1}, \ldots\right)=\left(a_{0}, a_{1}, \ldots\right)+\varepsilon$, where weight $(\varepsilon) \leq E$ :

1. How to recover $\Lambda(z)$ and $\left(a_{0}, a_{1}, \ldots\right)$
2. How many entries required for

- a unique solution
- a list of solutions containing $\left(a_{0}, a_{1}, \ldots\right)$
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## Coding Theory formulation

Let $\mathcal{C}$ be the set of all sequences of linear complexity $t$.

1. How to decode $\mathcal{C}$ ?
2. What are the best correction capacity ?

- for unique decoding
- list decoding


## How many entries to guarantee uniqueness?

Case $E=1, t=2$

$$
\left.\begin{array}{lllllllll} 
& \left(a_{i}\right) \\
(0, & 1, & 0, & 1, & 0, & 1, & 0, & -1, & 0,
\end{array} 1, \quad 0\right) \left\lvert\, \begin{aligned}
& \Lambda(z) \\
& 2-2 z^{2}+z^{4}+z^{6}
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

Where is the error?
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## How many entries to guarantee uniqueness?

Case $E=1, t=2$

Where is the error?
A unique solution is not guaranteed with $t=2, E=1$ and $n=11$

$$
\text { Is } n \geq 2 t(2 E+1) \text { a necessary condition? }
$$

## Generalization to any $E \geq 1$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Let } \overline{0}=(\overbrace{0, \ldots, 0}^{t-1 \text { times }}) \text {. Then } \\
& \qquad s=(\overline{0}, 1, \overline{0}, 1, \overline{0}, 1, \overline{0},-1)
\end{aligned}
$$

is generated by $z^{t}-1$ or $z^{t}+1$ up to $E=1$ error.
Then

$$
(\overbrace{s, s, \ldots, s}^{E \text { times }}, \overline{0}, 1, \overline{0})
$$

is generated by $z^{t}-1$ or $z^{t}+1$ up to $E$ errors.
$\Rightarrow$ ambiguity with $n=2 t(2 E+1)-1$ values.
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$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Let } \overline{0}=(\overbrace{0, \ldots, 0}^{t-1 \text { times }}) . \text { Then } \\
& \qquad s=(\overline{0}, 1, \overline{0}, 1, \overline{0}, 1, \overline{0},-1)
\end{aligned}
$$

is generated by $z^{t}-1$ or $z^{t}+1$ up to $E=1$ error.
Then

$$
(\overbrace{s, s, \ldots, s}^{E \text { times }}, \overline{0}, 1, \overline{0})
$$

is generated by $z^{t}-1$ or $z^{t}+1$ up to $E$ errors.
$\Rightarrow$ ambiguity with $n=2 t(2 E+1)-1$ values.

## Theorem

Necessary condition for unique decoding:

$$
n \geq 2 t(2 E+1)
$$

## The Majority Rule Berlekamp/Massey algorithm
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Input: $\left(a_{0}, \ldots, a_{n-1}\right)+\varepsilon$, where $n=2 t(2 E+1)$, weight $(\varepsilon) \leq E$, and $\left(a_{0}, \ldots, a_{n-1}\right)$ minimally generated by $\Lambda$ of degree $t$, where $\Lambda(0) \neq 0$.
Output: $\Lambda(z)$ and $\left(a_{0}, \ldots, a_{n-1}\right)$.
1 begin
2 Run BMA on $2 E+1$ segments of $2 t$ entries and record $\Lambda_{i}(z)$ on each segment;
3 Perform majority vote to find $\Lambda(z)$;
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Input: $\left(a_{0}, \ldots, a_{n-1}\right)+\varepsilon$, where $n=2 t(E+1)$, weight $(\varepsilon) \leq E$, and $\left(a_{0}, \ldots, a_{n-1}\right)$ minimally generated by $\Lambda$ of degree $t$, where $\Lambda(0) \neq 0$.
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## List decoding for $n \geq 2 t(E+1)$



Input: $\left(a_{0}, \ldots, a_{n-1}\right)+\varepsilon$, where $n=2 t(E+1)$, weight $(\varepsilon) \leq E$, and $\left(a_{0}, \ldots, a_{n-1}\right)$ minimally generated by $\Lambda$ of degree $t$, where $\Lambda(0) \neq 0$.
Output: $\left(\Lambda_{i}(z), s_{i}=\left(a_{0}^{(i)}, \ldots, a_{n-1}^{(i)}\right)\right)_{i}$ a list of $\leq E$ candidates
1 begin
2 Run BMA on $E+1$ segments of $2 t$ entries and record $\Lambda_{i}(z)$ on each segment;

Use a clean segment to clean-up the sequence; Withdraw $\Lambda_{i}$ if no clean segment can be found.
return the list $\left(\Lambda_{i}(z),\left(a_{0}^{(i)}, \ldots, a_{n-1}^{(i)}\right)\right)_{i}$;
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## Dense rational function interpolation
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\begin{aligned}
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## Dense rational function interpolation with errors

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \xrightarrow{x \in F} f=\frac{g}{h} ? f(x)+e \\
& g=\sum_{i=0}^{d_{G}} g_{i} x^{i}, h=\sum_{i=0}^{d_{H}} h_{i} x^{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Problem

Recover $g, h \in K[X]$, with $\operatorname{deg} g \leq d_{G}, \operatorname{deg} h \leq d_{H}$. given evaluations of $f=\frac{g}{h}$.

Cauchy interpolation
$\Rightarrow$ only $d_{F}+d_{G}+1$ entries needed
[Kaltofen and Pernet, 2013$]$
$\Rightarrow$ only $d_{F}+d_{G}+2 E+1$ evaluations needed with $E$ errors.
$\Rightarrow$ smoothly supports evaluations at poles and erroneous poles

## Rational function reconstruction

## Problem (RFR: Rational Function Reconstruction)

Given $A, B \in K[X]$ with $\operatorname{deg} B<\operatorname{deg} A=n$, recover $g, h \in K[X]$, with $\operatorname{deg} g \leq d_{G}, \operatorname{deg} h \leq n-d_{G}-1$ and

$$
g=h B \quad \bmod A .
$$

## Theorem

Let $\left(f_{0}=A, f_{1}=B, \ldots, f_{\ell}\right)$ be the sequence of remainders in the Ext. Euclidean alg. applied on $(A, B)$ and $u_{i}, v_{i}$ the multipliers s.t. $f_{i}=u_{i} f_{0}+v_{i} f_{1}$. Then at iteration $j$ s.t. $\operatorname{deg} f_{j} \leq d_{G}<\operatorname{deg} f_{j-1}$,

1. $\left(f_{j}, v_{j}\right)$ is a solution to the RFR problem.
2. it is minimal: any other solution $(g, h)$ is of the form $g=q f_{j}$, $h=q v_{j}$.

## Instantiations

## Dense polynomial interpolation with errors

- Erroneous interpolant: $P=\operatorname{Interp}\left(\left(y_{i}, x_{i}\right)\right)$
- Error locator polynomial: $\Lambda=\prod_{i \mid y_{i} \text { is erroneous }}\left(X-x_{i}\right)$

Find $f$ with $\operatorname{deg} f \leq d_{F}$ s.t. $f$ and $H$ agree on at least $n-t$ evaluations $x_{i}$.

$$
\underbrace{\Lambda f}_{f_{j}}=\underbrace{\Lambda}_{g_{j}} P \bmod \prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(X-x_{i}\right)
$$

and $(\Lambda f, \Lambda)$ is minimal.
$\Rightarrow$ computed by Ext. Euclidean Algorithm

$$
f=f_{j} / g_{j}
$$

## Instantiations

## Cauchy interpolation

- Polynomial interpolant: $P=\operatorname{Interp}\left(\left(y_{i}, x_{i}\right)\right)$

Find $g, h$ with $\operatorname{deg} g \leq d_{G} \operatorname{deg} h \leq n-d_{G}-1$ s.t. $\frac{g}{h}=P$ $\bmod \prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(X-x_{i}\right)$.

$$
\underbrace{g}_{f_{j}}=\underbrace{h}_{g_{j}} P \bmod \prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(X-x_{i}\right)
$$

and $(g, h)$ is minimal.
$\Rightarrow$ computed by Ext. Euclidean Algorithm

$$
\frac{g}{h}=\frac{f_{j}}{g_{j}} .
$$

## Instantiations

## Cauchy interpolation at poles (with multiplicity 1)

- value at a pole $\rightarrow \infty$.
- Pole locator: $P_{\infty}=\prod_{i \mid y_{i}=\infty}\left(X-x_{i}\right)$
- $h=\bar{h} P_{\infty}$
- Polynomial interpolant: $P=\operatorname{Interp}\left(\left(y_{i}, x_{i}\right)\right.$ for $\left.y_{i} \neq \infty\right)$

$$
\underbrace{g}_{f_{j}}=\underbrace{\bar{h}}_{g_{j}} P \quad \bmod \prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(X-x_{i}\right) / P_{\infty}
$$

and $(g, \bar{h})$ is minimal.
$\Rightarrow$ computed by Ext. Euclidean Algorithm

$$
\frac{g}{h}=\frac{f_{j}}{g_{j} P_{\infty}}
$$

## Instantiations

## Cauchy interpolation at poles with errors

- value at a pole $\rightarrow \infty$.
- Pole locator: $P_{\infty}=\prod_{i \mid y_{i}=\infty}\left(X-x_{i}\right)=\underbrace{G_{\infty}}_{\text {true poles erroneous poles }} \underbrace{\Lambda_{\infty}}$
- $h=\bar{h} P_{\infty}$
- Polynomial interpolant: $P=\operatorname{Interp}\left(\left(y_{i} P_{\infty}\left(x_{i}\right), x_{i}\right)\right.$ for $\left.y_{i} \neq \infty\right)$
- Error locator polynomial: $\Lambda=\prod_{i \mid y_{i} \text { is erroneous }}\left(X-x_{i}\right)=\bar{\Lambda} \Lambda_{\infty}$

$$
\underbrace{g \Lambda P_{\infty}}_{f_{j}}=\underbrace{\overline{h \Lambda}}_{g_{j}} P P_{\infty} \quad \bmod \prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(X-x_{i}\right)
$$

and $\left(g \Lambda P_{\infty}, \overline{h \Lambda}\right)$ is minimal.
$\Rightarrow$ computed by Ext. Euclidean Algorithm

$$
\frac{g}{h}=\frac{f_{j}}{g_{j} P_{\infty}^{2}}
$$

## Thank you
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