Self organization of ^a massive document collection

<u> Jarki- Salo jarki-jarkko Samuel Kaski- Salo jarkko Salo jarkko Kaski- jarkko Kaski- jarkko Salo jarkko salo j</u> Honkela- Vesa Paatero- and Antti Saarela

The authors are with the Neural Networks Research Centre- Helsinki University of Technology- Espoo- Finland E-mail: websom@websom.hut.fi

This article describes the implementation of a system that is able to organize vast document collections according to textual similarities- in the Selforganizing Map Som algorithm- in the feature map Som algorithmvectors for the documents we use statistical representations of their vocabularies- The main goal in our work has been to scale up the SOM algorithm to be able to deal with large amounts of high-dimensional abstract we produce the communities we mapped the stracts possible abstracts on the computer we have the community the feature vectors we used dimensional vectors of stochastic gures obtained as random pro jections of weighted word histograms-

Keywords

Data mining, exploratory data analysis, knowledge discovery, large databases, parallel implementation, random projection, Self-Organizing Map (SOM), textual documents.

A- From simple searches to browsing ofselforganized data col lections

Locating documents on the basis of keywords and simple search expressions is a commonplace task nowadays. However, formulating effective search queries is rather difficult, and scanning through the lists of search results that are in no apparent meaningful order may be very tiresome

A more user-friendly method for data exploration is exemplied in the so-called Hypertext approach where links are provided between a document and other related data In the World Wide Web these links are often created manually by individual users, and the quality of linking varies greatly over documents. The quality can be improved with extensive human labor by constructing organized collections like the YAHOO a hierarchical directory of Internet resources http://www.internet.com/communications/communications/communications/communications/

It would be of immense help if the document collections and databases could be *automatically* organized in some meaningful way. Especially interactive exploration of a document collection, where the user looks at individual documents one at a time, would be greatly aided by ordering of the documents according to their contents The context of the other related documents residing nearby would then help in understanding the true meaning of the individual texts and in finding the information of highest interest. Furthermore, interpreting the results of searches would become easier if the results were already grouped according to the similarity of content, instead of returning matches as a list of hits. There exist many possibilities to organize a document collection, e.g. as a graph or a hierarchical structure

The present article describes an organization in which the documents are represented as points on a two-dimensional plane and the geometric relations of the image points of the documents represent their similarity relations. Such representations are called "maps."

Document maps add value to text retrieval by providing a meaningful visual background for portraying the results of searches. The background helps in making sense of the retrieved matches, as well as provides cues for selecting the most interesting ones. In addition one may index the image points by information derived from the document collection and the indexing can be utilized to perform further searches on the collection

Organized collections of data also facilitate a new dimension in retrieval namely the possibility to locate pieces of relevant or similar information that the user was not explicitly looking for. Such tasks and methods constitute a field of their own called *exploratory data* analysis or knowledge discovery in databases, often colloquially called "data mining."

B- The scope ofthis work

There exist several classical methods in exploratory data analysis $[1]$ and multivariate analysis that are able to form illustrative two-dimensional projections of distributions of items in high-dimensional data spaces on \mathbb{R}^n . The multidimensional scaling \mathbb{R}^n $[2]$, $[3]$, $[4]$, $[5]$, $[6]$, $[7]$ and its frequently applied version is called Sammon's projection $[8]$. For large amounts of data items these mappings are computationally heavy. Therefore, considerable interest might be devoted to the neural-network methods eg the Self *Organizing Map (SOM)* [9], [10], [11] that approximate an unlimited number of input data items by a finite set of models. A further advantage achieved by the SOM mapping is then that unlike in, say, multidimensional scaling, the SOM can first be computed using any representative subset of old input data and new input items can be mapped straight into the most similar models without re-computation of the whole mapping

Any of the basic projection methods can be used to organize textual data items, too, such as documents, if their contents are described statistically as some kind of metric feature vectors. For instance, if the collection of words used in a document is described as a histogram the latter can serve as the input feature vector on the basis of which the document collection can be organized

We have developed a SOM-based methodology that can be used as a tool especially in exploring document collections but also in various searching tasks In this method called the WEBSOM, a textual document collection is organized onto a graphical map display that provides an overview of the collection and facilitates interactive browsing The browsing can be focused by locating first some interesting documents on the map using content addressing

Since 1991, there have existed attempts to apply the SOM for the organization of texts, based on word histograms regarded as the input vectors $[12]$, $[13]$, $[14]$. In order to avoid their dimensionalities from growing too large, the vocabularies were limited manually. However to classify masses of natural texts it is unavoidable to refer to a rather large vocabulary, say, 50 000 words. There exist at least three possibilities to reduce the dimensionalities of the histogram vectors, without essentially losing accuracy in classification: 1. Representation of the histogram vectors by their eigenvectors (the latent semantic indexing described in Section 2009, the Section of the section of words into section as was was was was as well done in our earlier WEBSOM publications $[15]$, $[16]$, $[17]$, $[18]$, $[19]$, $[20]$, $[21]$, 3. Reduction of the dimensionality of the histogram vectors by a random projection method, as done in this work

The present article describes the final phases of a major project that was launched in 1995. After several phases of development, it was decided in the summer of 1997 that one should make an experiment to demonstrate the up-scalability of the SOM method While about $5\,000$ documents were still mapped in our first publications in 1996 [15]. [16], $[17]$, $[18]$, we finally increased the database to about seven million documents [22]. There do not exist many sources of freely available information of this size. In order that our work would also lead to a useful application we decided to use the corpus of all the patent abstracts that were available on CD ROMs or other electronic media This corpus consisted of U.S., Japanese, and European patents.

It would have been interesting to compare the up-scalability of our method with other algorithms and variants of the SOM However as it took two years from our group to

develop the final software for our method, it was not possible for us to construct alternative search methods of the same dimension for benchmarking. To make our system operate in real time and t to medium-sized computers we also had to develop several shortcut computing solutions that obviously cannot be used with other methods

The SelfOrganizing Map SOM is an unsupervised-learning neural-network method that produces a *similarity graph of input data*. It consists of a finite set of models that approximate the open set of input data, and the models are associated with nodes ("neurons that are arranged as a regular usually two-dimensional grid The models are produced by a learning process that automatically orders them on the two-dimensional grid along with their mutual similarity

The original SOM algorithm is a recursive regression process. Regression of an ordered set of model vectors $\mathbf{m}_i \in \mathbb{R}^n$ into the space of observation vectors $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ can be made recursively as

$$
\mathbf{m}_i(t+1) = \mathbf{m}_i(t) + h_{c(\mathbf{x}),i}(t)[\mathbf{x}(t) - \mathbf{m}_i(t)],
$$
\n(1)

$$
c(\mathbf{x}) = \arg\min_{i} \{ ||\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{m}_i|| \}, \tag{2}
$$

where t is the index of the regression step, and the regression is performed for each presentation of a sample of \mathbf{r} and \mathbf{r} and \mathbf{r} is the scalar multiplier hold \mathbf{x} neighborhood function, and it is like a smoothing kernel over the grid. Its first subscript cy is denoted by equal is the model called that is model called the model called the model of the model called best with $\mathbf{x}(t)$. The comparison metric is usually selected as Euclidean; for other metrics, the form of (1) will change accordingly. If the samples $x(t)$ are stochastic and have a continuous density function, the probability for having multiple minima in (2) is zero. With discrete-valued variables however multiple minima may occur in such cases one of them can be selected at random for the winner

The neighborhood function is often taken as the Gaussian

$$
h_{c(\mathbf{x}),i}(t) = \alpha(t) \exp\left(-\frac{\|\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_{c(\mathbf{x})}\|^2}{2\sigma^2(t)}\right) ,\qquad (3)
$$

where t is the learning-rate factor which decreases monotonically with the regression steps, $\mathbf{r}_i \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and $\mathbf{r}_{c(\mathbf{x})} \in \mathbb{R}^2$ are the vectorial locations on the display grid, January 25, 2000

and $\sigma(t)$ corresponds to the width of the neighborhood function, which is also decreasing \mathbf{r}_1 with the regression steps. In practice, i.e. computational reasons here \mathbf{r}_1 is truncated when $\|\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_{c(\mathbf{x})}\|$ exceeds a certain limit.

It has been thought that the SOM algorithm might be derivable from some objective function that describes the average quantization error. In a recent study $[23]$ it was shown that a different point density of the model vectors is thereby obtained. In this work we use the original SOM algorithm, which is computationally lightest of all variants. This aspect was most decisive in this very large implementation

In an attempt to accelerate the computation of the SOM, the Batch Map principle $[24]$ has turned out to be computationally very effective. The implementation of the present method is based on the Batch Map

Assuming that the convergence to some ordered state is true, we require that the expectation values of $\mathbf{m}_i(t+1)$ and $\mathbf{m}_i(t)$ for $t \to \infty$ must be equal. In other words, in the stationary state we must have

$$
\forall i, \ \ \mathbf{E}_t \{ h_{c(\mathbf{x}),i}(t) [\mathbf{x}(t) - \mathbf{m}_i^*(t)] \} = 0 , \tag{4}
$$

where $E_t\{\cdot\}$ means the expectation value over t.

 \mathbf{r} can be regarded that $\mathbf{r}_\text{c}(\mathbf{x})$ and the last steps steps that invariant $\mathbf{r}_\text{c}(\mathbf{x})$ are reached of iteration (1) at least). In the special case where we have a finite number (batch) of the $\mathbf{x}(t)$ with respect to which (4) has to be solved for the \mathbf{m}_i , we can write (4) as \cdot

$$
\mathbf{m}_{i}^{*} = \frac{\sum_{t} h_{c(\mathbf{x}),i} \mathbf{x}(t)}{\sum_{t} h_{c(\mathbf{x}),i}} \; . \tag{5}
$$

This is not yet an explicit solution for \mathbf{m}_i , because the subscript $c(\mathbf{x})$ on the right-hand iside still depends on $\mathbf{x}(t)$ and all the \mathbf{m}_i . However, we can solve (5) heratively. Starting iwith even coarse approximations for the m_i , (z) is first utilized to find the indices $c(x)$ for all the $\mathbf{r}_{\mathsf{C}(\mathbf{x})}$, on the basis of the approximate $\mathbf{r}_{\mathsf{C}(\mathbf{x})i}$, and the improved approximations for the \mathbf{m}_i are computed from (5), which are then applied to (2), whereafter the computed $c(\mathbf{x})$ are substituted to (5), and so on. The optimal solutions \mathbf{m}_i are usually obtained in

With a small amount of input data in relation to the map size- it may happen that at some models the denominator of the left side of the for such models at such an iteration step, but the old value of \mathbf{m}_i must be retained.

a few few iteration cycles after the discrete-discrete-discrete-discrete-discrete-down and are not the settle longer changed in further iterations. (The convergence proof of a slightly different Batch Map has been presented in [25].)

In the following we formulate the Batch-Map SOM principle in such a way that it is reduced to two familiar computational steps, namely, that of the classical Vector Quantization and that of smoothing of numerical values of numerical values over a two-dimensional values over grid. The particular implementation in this work is based on these steps.

Let v_i be the set of all $\mathbf{x}(t)$ that have \mathbf{m}_i as their closest model. This set is called the \mathcal{L} set \mathcal{L} set \mathcal{L} is a number of sample into \mathcal{L} is called nine \mathcal{L} is called nine \mathcal{L} is called nine \mathcal{L}

step 1. Initialize the m_i by any proper method $\overline{}$.

Step 2. For a finite set $\{x(t)\}\$ of data samples, compute one step of Vector Quantization, in regarded as α is regarded as the xt over α is regarded as the α is α is a strong via α

$$
\forall i , \quad \bar{\mathbf{x}}_i = \frac{\sum_{\mathbf{x}(t) \in V_i} \mathbf{x}(t)}{n_i} \tag{6}
$$

step of carry references in the step of th

$$
\mathbf{m}_i^* = \frac{\sum_j n_j h_{ji} \bar{\mathbf{x}}_j}{\sum_j n_j h_{ji}} \ . \tag{7}
$$

Alternate steps 2 and 5 until the \mathbf{m}_i^+ can be regarded as stationary.

i

III. STATISTICAL MODELS OF DOCUMENTS

In automatic classification, the documents must be described by a set of features. If the purpose were to assign the documents into prescribed classes the selection of the features could be optimized for maximum classification accuracy (cf. e.g. $[30]$). The goal in our work, however, was *unsupervised* classification, in which the classes are not known a priori⁻; the documents can only be clustered according to their detailed topical similarities

It has been demonstrated that the SOM can map free-text natural-language documents too, if their textual contents are describable by some statistical models such as word

It has been shown that a random choice for the \mathbf{m}_i is possible. However, faster convergence is obtained if the initial values of the \mathbf{m}_i are even roughly ordered [29].

We do, nowever, monitor the classification accuracy with respect to the major patent classes (subsections) in order to be able to compare the dimensions Su_nterestimates. Whom and accuracy-partners in the announcement of th measure for comparing different algorithms.

histograms or their compressed forms $[12]$, $[13]$, $[14]$, $[31]$, $[32]$, $[33]$, $[34]$, $[35]$. In a series of earlier works we replaced the word histograms by histograms formed over word clusters using self-organizing semantic maps This system was called the WEBSOM Its later phases have been explained, e.g., in Honkela et al. [37]. Certain reasons expounded below, however, recently led us to abandon the "semantic maps" and to encode the word histograms by the newly developed projection methods. The results reported in the present article are totally based on these new developments which has given a reason for us to call the present system the "WEBSOM2." An overview of the WEBSOM2 system is depicted in Fig

Below we first review some attempts to describe the textual contents of documents statistically

A- The primitive vector space model

In the basic vector space model $[38]$ the stored documents are represented as real vectors in which each component corresponds to the frequency of occurrence of a particular word in the document

Obviously one should provide the different words with weights that the information can be taken correspond to their signicance or power of discrimination between the \mathbf{f} topics \mathbf{f} word one can use one of the well-document one of the well-document one of the well-document one of the well-document of the well-document of the well-document of the well-document of the well-docu from \mathbf{I} . In the inverse of the inverse of the inverse of the inverse of the number of documents of documents of documents in the inverse of the number of documents of documents in the number of documents of documen in which the word occurs). If, however, the documents have some topical classification which contains relevant information, the words can also be weighted according to their Shannon entropy over the set of document classes see Sec V-B for a detailed description The latter method was used in this work in order to utilize the additional information contained in the patent classification.

The weighted word histogram can be viewed as the feature vector describing the document. The main problem of the vector space model is the large vocabulary in any sizable collection of free-text documents or the vast dimensionality of the model vectors that must be kept in main memory. The size of the vocabulary can, of course, be reduced by automatically or manually selecting a subset containing the most important words according to some criterion. It is, however, a difficult problem to find a suitable subset of words

Fig- - Overview of the construction and operation of the WEBSOM
 system-

that still represents the essential characteristics of the documents

<u>best semantic indexident in the semantic index set</u>

In an attempt to reduce the dimensionality of the document vectors, without essentially losing information contained in the full vocabulary, one often first forms a matrix in which each column corresponds to the word histogram of a document, and there is one column for each document. After that the space spanned by the column vectors is decomposed into an ordered set of factors by a matrix-computation method called the singular-value decomposition (SVD). The decomposition has the property that the last factors have minimal influence on the matrix. When they are omitted the document vector formed from the histogram of the remaining factors has then a much smaller dimensionality while as much as possible is retained of the original histograms. This method is called *latent* semantic indexing (LSI) [39].

C- Randomly projected histograms

We have shown earlier that the dimensionality of the document vectors can be reduced radically by a much simpler method than the LSI, by the random projection method $[20]$, $[40]$, $[41]$, without essentially losing the power of discrimination between the documents. Experimental results that prove this will be given below in Sec III-E and in Table I On the other hand, the computation of the random projections is orders of magnitude lighter than LSI as will be discussed in Sec III-F Consider the original document vector (weighted histogram) $n_i \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and a rectangular random matrix \mathbf{R} , the elements in each column of which are assumed as normally distributed vectors having unit length. Let us form the document vectors as the *projections* $\mathbf{x}_i \in \mathbb{R}^m$, where $m \ll n$:

$$
\mathbf{x}_i = \mathbf{R} \mathbf{n}_i \tag{8}
$$

It can be shown that the pairwise similarity of pro jection vectors xi- xj measured by the inner products, is the same on the average as the similarity of the corresponding original document vectors ni and the error the error thereby made is in the error μ proportional to m It was demonstrated experimentally for dimensional \mathcal{L} , and dimensionally and mensional \mathcal{L} and \mathcal{L} (cf. also Table I) that the classification accuracy is practically as good as with the vector space method, while with the decreasing dimensionality of the document vectors, the time needed to classify a document is decreased radically

The has recently been suggested that the random projection $|42|$ or similar methods $|43|$ could be used for reducing the computational complexity of the LSI as well

D- Histograms on the word category map

In our original version of the WEBSOM $[20]$, the reduction of the dimensionality of the document vectors was carried out by letting the words of free natural text be clustered onto neighboring grid points of another special SOM. The input to such a "word category map" [20] consisted of triplets of adjacent words in the text taken over a moving window, whereupon each word in the vocabulary was represented by a unique random vector

Later we abandoned the word category map since we could eliminate the more or less haphazard process in which the words were categorized, and thus an even better accuracy of document classification was achieved by the straightforward random projection of the word histograms

E- Validation of the random projection method by smal lscale preliminary experiments

Before describing the new encoding of the documents $[44]$ used in this work, some preliminary experimental results that motivate its idea must be presented. Table I compares the three projection methods discussed above in which the model vectors, except in the rst case were always -dimensional For our nal implementation we selected the dimensionality of computations in the problem which was still painting feasible whereas in the still feasible wh our preliminary experiments reported in this Section we still used -dimensional vectors for historical reasons, to be able to compare these results with our earlier works where

For the material in this smaller-scale preliminary experiment we used patents from the whole corpus of $6,840,568$ abstracts available to us. The patents were sampled at random but an equal number of patents from each of the 21 subsections of the patent classification system were included.

when the texts were prepared as will be explained as will be explained as will be explained as well as \mathcal{A} (automatically extracted) vocabulary consisted of $1,814$ words or word forms. Document maps consisting of $1,344$ units were computed of the document collection, each document was mapped onto one of the grid points of each map, and all documents that represented a minority class at any grid point were counted asclassication errors

In this experiment our goal was to organize a given data set into a structure from which the documents can be retrieved easily. Therefore the measured accuracies refer to classification of the original data. Note that this

TABLE I

Classification accuracies of documents- in per cent- with different projection matrices

R. THE FIGURES ON THE LAST ROW ARE AVERAGES FROM FIVE TEST RUNS WITH DIFFERENT

random elements of the matrix

The classification accuracy of 60.6 per cent reported on the first row of Table I refers to a classication that was carried out with the classical vector- \mathbb{R}^n , with full - \mathbb{R}^n dimensional histograms as document vectors. In practice, this kind of classification would be orders of magnitude too slow for large text collections

Dimensionality reduction with LSI resulted in almost the same accuracy as the full histograms. This is reported on the second row. The accuracy obtained with random projection, in which the factorial decomposition of LSI needs not be computed, was still close to the other two

F- Construction of random projections of word histograms by pointers

Consider now that we want to simplify the projection matrix \bf{R} in order to speed up computations We can do this by thresholding the matrix elements or using sparse matrices. Such experiments are reported next in Table II. The following rows have the following meaning: Second row, the originally random matrix elements were thresholded to \pm 1 or \pm 1, third row, exactly 5 randomly distributed ones were generated in each column, whereas the other elements were zeros; fourth row, the number of ones was 3 ; and fifth row, the number of ones was 2, respectively.

These results are now supposed to give us the idea that if we, upon formation of the task is dierent from the pattern recognition task in which the goal is to classify new items- and after learning no attention is paid to the training data

TABLE II

Classification accuracies of documents- in per cent- with different projection matrices

R. THE FIGURES ARE AVERAGES FROM FIVE TEST RUNS WITH DIFFERENT RANDOM ELEMENTS OF

random projection, would reserve a memory array like an accumulator for the document vector x , another array for the weighted histogram n, and *permanent address pointers* from all the locations of the n array to all such locations of the x array for which the matrix element of **is equal to one, we could form the product very fast by following the** pointers and summing up to ^x those components of the ⁿ vector that are indicated by the ones of R

In the method that was actually used we do not project ready histograms, but the pointers are already used with each word in the text in the construction of the lowdimensional document vectors. When scanning the text, the hash address for each word is formed, and if the word resides in the hash table, those elements of the x array that are found by the (say, five) address pointers stored at the corresponding hash table location are incremented by the weight value of that word. The weighted, randomly projected word histogram obtained in the above way may be optionally normalized.

The computing time needed to form the histograms in the above way in this small-scale experiments was about per cent of that of the usual matrix-product method This is due to the fact that the histograms and also their projections contain plenty of zero

The computational complexity of the random projection with pointers is only $\mathcal{O}(Nl)$ +

 $\mathcal{O}(n)$, where N is the number of documents, l is the average number of different words in each document, and n is the original dimensionality. Here $\mathcal{O}(n)$ is due to the construction of the hash table and $\mathcal{O}(N_l)$ is the complexity of computing the actual projections, assuming that the hashing operation takes constant time. In contrast, the computational complexity of the LSI is known to be $\mathcal{O}(N \cdot d)$, where d is the resulting dimensionality. Both estimates hold for short texts (sparse histogram vectors).

The SOM algorithm is capable of organizing even a randomly initialized map. However, if the initialization is regular and closer to the final state $[29]$, the asymptotic convergence of the map can be made at least an order of magnitude faster. Below we introduce several speed-up methods by which reasonable approximation for the initial state and the initial states of the is formed and then, the stationary state of the SOM algorithm is reached effectively by a combination of various shortcut methods

A- Fast distance computation

In word histograms there are plenty of zeros, and if the pointer method of random projection is used, the zeros are still predominant in the projected document vectors.

Since the document vectors are normalized, they can be mapped onto the SOM according to their inner products with the model vectors Since the zero-valued components of the vectors do not contribute to inner products it is possible to tabulate the indices of the non-zero components of each input vector and thereafter consider only those components when computing the distances

Related, more complex methods have been proposed for computing Euclidean distances between sparse vectors [45]. However, the model vectors must then be stored in the original high-dimensional format for which we have no memory capacity we must use

B- Estimation of larger maps based on careful ly constructed smal ler ones

Several suggestions for increasing the number of nodes of the SOM during its construction (cf., e.g. $[46]$) have been made. The new idea presented below is to *estimate* good initial values for the model vectors of a very large map on the basis of asymptotic values of the model vectors of a much smaller map

Consider rst a rectangular two-dimensional SOM array with two-dimensional input vectors. If the probability density function (pdf) of the input were selected as $uniform$ in a rectangular domain and zero outside it, there is a characteristic "shrink" of the distribution of the model vectors with respect to the borders of the support of the pdf, whereas inside the array the model vectors can be assumed as uniformly distributed (cf., e.g., [11], Fig. 3.3 (a)). For an arbitrary number of grid points in the SOM array, rectangular or hexagonal, the amount of this "shrinkage" can easily be estimated.

Consider then that the input has an arbitrary higher dimensionality and an arbitrary pdf, which, however, is continuous and smooth. Even then, the relative "shrinkage" and the relative *local* differences of the new model vectors are similar as in the uniform case (cf., e.g., [11], Fig. 3.7).

Consider again a pdf that is uniform over a two-dimensional rectangular area This same area is now approximated by either the set of vectors $\{\mathbf{m'}_i^{(a)} \in \mathbb{R}^2\}$, or by $\{\mathbf{m'}_i^{(s)} \in \mathbb{R}^2\}$ \mathbb{R}^2 , where the superscript d refers to the "dense" lattice, and s to the "sparse" lattice. respectively. If the three "sparse" vectors ${\bf m'}_i^{(2)}, {\bf m'}_j^{(2)},$ and ${\bf m'}_k^{(2)}$ do not lie on the same \mathbf{r} \cdot . straight line, then in the two-dimensional signal plane any "dense" vector $\mathbf{m'}_h^{\scriptscriptstyle{(N)}}$ can be approximated by the linear expression

$$
\mathbf{m'}_{h}^{(d)} = \alpha_{h} \mathbf{m'}_{i}^{(s)} + \beta_{h} \mathbf{m'}_{j}^{(s)} + (1 - \alpha_{h} - \beta_{h}) \mathbf{m'}_{k}^{(s)}, \qquad (9)
$$

where the interpretation μ are the interpretation-coecients This is a two-dimensional measurement of two-dimensional measurements This is a twovector equation from which the two under the distance \cdots is the solved Fig. in the solved for illusion \cdots tration of the relations of the codebook vectors, see Fig. 2.

Consider then another, nonuniform but still smooth pdf in a space of arbitrary dimensionality and the two SOM lattices with the same topology but with different density as in the ideal example. When the true pdf is arbitrary, we may not assume the lattices of true codebook vectors to be planar. Nonetheless we can perform a *local linear estima*tion of the true codebook vectors $\mathbf{m}_{h}^{\infty} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ of the "dense" lattice on the basis of the \cdot true codebook vectors \mathbf{m}_i^{φ} , \mathbf{m}_j^{φ} , and $\mathbf{m}_k^{\varphi} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ of the "sparse" lattice, using the same \mathbf{r} \cdot extra polation as interpretation as interesting and the property of \mathcal{C}

Fig-
- Illustration of the relations of the model vectors in a sparse s solid lines and dense d dashed lines) grid. Only partial grids are shown in the figure. Here $\mathbf{m'}_h^{\scriptscriptstyle(\gamma)}$ shall be interpolated in terms of the three closest "sparse" models $\mathbf{m'}_i^{\times}, \mathbf{m'}_j^{\times},$ and $\mathbf{m'}_k^{\times},$ respectively.

In practice, in order that the linear estimate be most accurate, the respective indices h, i , j , and k should be such that \mathbf{m}' , \mathbf{m}' $\mathbf{m'}_{i}^{\cdots}$, and j' , and $\mathbf{m'}_k$ ^o are κ are three codebook vectors of the three codebook vectors κ *closest* to \mathbf{m} ", " in the h in the signal space but not on the same line with $\sum_{i=1}^n h_i$ is the solved from the same line $\sum_{i=1}^n h_i$ for each node ^h separately we obtain the wanted interpolation-extrapolation formula as

$$
\hat{\mathbf{m}}_h^{(d)} = \alpha_h \mathbf{m}_i^{(s)} + \beta_h \mathbf{m}_j^{(s)} + (1 - \alpha_h - \beta_h) \mathbf{m}_k^{(s)} . \tag{10}
$$

refer that the indices n, i, j , and n refer to $\iota\nu\rho\sigma\iota\nu\sigma\iota\iota\iota\iota\iota\iota\iota\iota$ and in $\iota\nu\iota$ and The interpolation-extrapolation coecients for two-dimensional lattices depend on their topology and the neighborhood function used in the last phase of learning. For best results the "stiffness" of both the "sparse" and the "dense" map should be the same, i.e. the relative width of the final neighborhoods, when referred to the diameter of the array, should be equal

C- Rapid netuning of the large maps

C.1 Addressing old winners.

Assume that we are somewhere in the middle of the training process, whereupon the SOM is already smoothly ordered although not yet asymptotically stable Assume that the model vectors are not changed much during one iteration of training. When the same training input is used again some time later, it may be clear that the new winner is found at or in the vicinity of the old one When the training vectors are then expressed as

a linear table, with a *pointer* to the corresponding *old winner location* stored with each training vector, the map unit corresponding to the associated pointer is searched for first, and then a local search for the new winner in the neighborhood around the located unit will suffice $(Fig. 3)$. After the new winner location has been identified, the associated pointer in the input table is replaced by the pointer to the new winner location This will be a significantly faster operation than an exhaustive winner search over the whole SOM. The search can first be made in the immediate surrounding of the said location, and only if the best match is found at its edge searching is continued in the surrounding of the preliminary best match, until the winner is one of the middle units in the search domain.

In order to ensure that the matches are globally best, a full search for the winner over the whole SOM can be performed intermittently

Fig- - Finding the new winner in the vicinity of the old one whereby the old winner is directly located by a pointer- is the pointer in the state operation.

tree structure is it is a search-order structure structure speedup method for a search-order structure ture.

C.2 Initialization of the pointers

When the size (number of grid nodes) of the maps is increased stepwise during learning \mathbf{M} the estimation procedure discussed in Section IV-12 and inflation IV-12 and initial pointers for all data \mathbf{M} vectors after each increase can be estimated quickly by utilizing the formula that was used in increasing the map size, equation (10) . The winner is the map unit for which the inner

product with the data vector is the largest and so the inner products can be computed rapidly using the expression

$$
\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{m}_h^{(d)} = \alpha_h \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{m}_i^{(s)} + \beta_h \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{m}_j^{(s)} + (1 - \alpha_h - \beta_h) \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{m}_k^{(s)}.
$$
 (11)

Here d refers to model vectors of the large map and s of the sparse map, respectively. Expression (11) can be interpreted as the inner product between two *three-dimensional* vectors, $(\alpha_h; \beta_h; (1-\alpha_h - \beta_h))^T$ and $\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{m}_i^{T}$; $\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{r}$ \sum_{i}^{∞} ; \mathbf{x}^{x} \mathbf{m}_{i}^{∞} ; \mathbf{x}^{x} r \sum_{j}^{∞} ; \mathbf{x}^{T} \mathbf{m}_{k}^{∞}]^{T} , u \hat{k}] \hat{k} , urrespective of the dimensionality of x . If necessary, the winner search can still be speeded up by restricting the winner search to the area of the dense map that corresponds to the neighborhood of the winner on the sparse map. This is especially fast if only a subset (albeit a subset that covers the whole map) of an the possible triplets (i, j, n) is allowed in (10) and (11).

C.3 Parallelized Batch Map algorithm

The Batch Map algorithm introduced in Section II facilitates a very efficient parallel implementation At each iteration we rst compute the pointer ct to the best-matching unit for each input $\mathbf{x}(t)$. If the old value of the pointer can be assumed as being close to the final value, as is the case if the pointer has been initialized properly or obtained in the previous iteration of a relatively well-organized map we need not perform an exhaustive winner search as discussed above. Moreover, since the model vectors do not change at this stage, the winner search can be easily implemented in parallel by dividing the data into the distribution of the distribution of the shared-computer o

After the pointers have been computed, the previous values of the model vectors are not needed any longer The means xj as dened by can be computed as recursive expressions at nodes defined by the pointers $c(t)$ associated with the $\mathbf{x}(t)$, and therefore extra memory is not needed to keep the old values of the \mathbf{m}_i^+ when computing their new values

Finally, the new values of the model vectors can be computed based on (7). This computation can also be implemented in parallel and done within the memory reserved for the model vectors if a subset of the new values of the model vectors is held in a suitably defined buffer.

C.4 Saving memory by reducing representation accuracy

The memory requirements can be reduced significantly by using a coarser quantization of the vectors. We have used a common adaptive scale for all of the components of a model vector, representing each component with eight bits only. If the dimensionality of the data vectors is large, the statistical accuracy of the distance computations is still sufficient as shown in earlier studies $[49]$. The sufficient accuracy can be maintained during the computation if a suitable amount of noise is added to each new value of a model vector the computation if a suitable amount of noise is added to each new value of \mathbb{R}^n before quantizing it

D- Performance evaluation of the new methods

D.1 Numerical comparison with the traditional SOM algorithm

In this section we have introduced several methods for speeding up the computation of large SOMs. We will next verify that the quality of the resulting maps is comparable to the maps constructed with the traditional SOM algorithm

scale tests were called out of the same carried out out out out of the same collection of pattent abstracts to that was used already in Sec III-E We shall use two performance indices to measure the quality of the maps: the average distance of each input from the closest model vector called the *average quantization error*, and the separability of different classes of patents on the resulting map called the *classification accuracy*. The classes were the 21 subsections of the patent classification system.

We computed two sets of maps, one with the traditional SOM algorithm, and the other using the new methods, respectively, and compared their quality. In computing both sets we used parameter values that in preliminary experiments had been found to guarantee good results

The model vectors of the maps in the first, traditionally computed set were initialized by values spaced evenly on the subspace spanned by the two dominant eigenvectors of the data set $[29]$. The map was then computed using the SOM algorithm, eqns (2) and (1) . The total number of iterations was about 150 per map unit, and both the width and the height of the neighborhood kernel decreased more rapidly at first, and more slowly towards the end of learning

For the second set of maps, small maps consisting of 84 units were first computed with the SOM algorithm, again using about 150 iterations per map unit. The final large maps were then estimated based on these small ones, the pointers to the winning units from each input sample were initialized, and 5 iterations of the Batch Map algorithm were carried out

As can be seen from Table III, the quality of the resulting maps is comparable, but the time needed for the shortcut methods is only about one tenth of that of the traditional algorithm. The time has been measured with a SGI O2000 computer without parallelization of any programs

TABLE III

Comparison of the new shortcut methods with the traditional SOM algorithm The figures are averages from five test runs with different random matrices used in the encoding of the documents- and the error margins are standard deviations

D.2 Comparison of the computational complexity

For very large maps the difference in the computation times is even more marked than in Table III, but can only be deduced from the computational complexities given in Table IV.

The complexity of computation of the traditional SOM algorithm is $\mathcal{O}(dN^2)$, since the complexity of each full winner search is $\mathcal{O}(dN)$, and the number of iterations should be a multiple of the number of map units to guarantee sufficient statistical accuracy of the resulting map

In the complexity of the new method, the first term, $\mathcal{O}(dM^2)$, stems from the computation of the small map. The second term, $\mathcal{O}(dN)$, results from the VQ step (eqn. 6) of the Batch Map algorithm in which the winners are sought only in the vicinity of the neighborhood having a size independent of N is sufficient. The last term in the compu-

tational complexity, $\mathcal{O}(N^2)$, refers to the estimation of the pointers, cf. Sec. IV-C.2, and the smoothing step, eqn (7) , of the Batch Map computation. The initialization of the pointers can actually be carried out in $\mathcal{O}(N^2/M)$ time, since about N/M units of the larger map need to be searched for each input. In the smoothing step an average over the neighbors of each map unit is computed, and if it is desired to keep the "stiffness" of the map approximately constant when the number of map units is increased, the size of the neighborhood should always be the same fraction of the number of map units

It may thus be estimated, taking into account the speedup obtained already in the random projection, that the total speedup factor in construction of large maps is of the order of the dimensionality of the original input vectors which in our largest experiment was about $50,000^6$

TABLE IV

Computational complexity of the methods Here ^N denotes the number of data SAMPLES, M the number of map units in the small map, and a the dimensionality of the $\,$ input vectors It has been assumed that the number of map units in the final map is chosen to be proportional to the number of data samples

For the largest WEBSOM map made so far we selected a data base of $6,840,568$ patent abstracts available in electronic form and written in English. These patents were granted by the U.S., European, and Japan patent offices and stored in two databases: the "First Page - and the strain part of the average - and the average - and the average - average - and the average - and length of each text was 132 words. The size of the SOM was $1,002,240$ models (neurons).

In Sec. V we computed the largest map in several stages. The complexity of each stage is, however, only the \sim $O(dN) + O(N)$.

A- Preprocessing

From the raw patent abstracts we first extracted the titles and the texts for further protextual information and removed non-textual symbols and numbers and numbers and numbers and numbers and number were converted into special "dummy" symbols. The whole vocabulary contained $733,179$ different words (base forms). All words were converted to their base form using a stemmer [50]. The words occurring less than 50 times in the whole corpus, as well as a set of common words in a stopword list of 1,335 words were removed. The remaining vocabulary consisted of $43,222$ words. Finally, we omitted the $122,524$ abstracts in which less than 5 words remained

B- Formation of statistical models

To reduce the dimensionality of 43.222 of the histograms we used the random projection method Sec III-C For the nal dimensionality we selected and random pointers were used for each word (in the columns of the projection matrix \bf{R}). The words were weighted using the Shannon entropy of their distribution of occurrence among the subsections of the patent classification system. There are 21 subsections in the patent classification system in total; examples of such subsections are agriculture, transportation, chemistry, building, engines, and electricity (cf. Fig. 4).

The weight is a measure of the unevenness of the distribution of the word in the subsections. The weights were calculated as follows: Let $P_g(w)$ be the probability of a randomly chosen instance of the word ^w occurring in subsection g and Ng the number of subsections. The Shannon entropy thus becomes $H(w) = -\sum_{a} P_a(w) \log P_a(w)$, $q - y \leftarrow$ Property and the weights of $q - y \leftarrow$ $W(w)$ of word w is defined to be $W(w) = H_{\text{max}} - H(w)$, where $H_{\text{max}} = \log N_g$.

$H(x)$ is the Hermation of the document map

The final map was constructed in four successively enlarged stages, at all of which the same - die document same die document - die document vectors were used to map was increased the map was increas sixteen-fold and once nine-fold The smallest -unit map was constructed using the original SOM algorithm and 300 000 learning steps. Each of the enlarged, estimated maps cf Sec IV-B was then ne-tuned by ve Batch Map iteration cycles In order that the asymptotic form of the map would be smooth and regular enough, we had to use the final

Several choices for the parameter values (map sizes, training lengths etc.) during the training process had to be made. These were based on earlier experiences and experiments made using smaller subsets of the same document collection. We also monitored the computation accuracy cf Sec IV-V-C IV-C interactions and trials and stational trials and computation \sim variations for the smaller maps were made whereas the smaller contract of the largest maps μ could be carried out only once, because it took several weeks. The final classification accuracy was compatible with the results obtained with the smaller maps, whereas the fine structures of clustering manifested themselves best in the largest map.

With the newest versions of our programs the whole process of computation of the document map takes about six weeks on a six-band processor of the Computer Attention and the computer \mathcal{L} moment we cannot provide exact values of the real processing time since we have all the time developed the programs while carrying out the computations

The amount of main memory required was about 800MB.

Forming the user interface automatically took an additional week of computation. This time includes nding the keywords to label the map forming the WWW-pages that are used in exploring the map and indexing the map units for keyword searches

In order to get an idea of the quality of the organization of the final map we measured how the different subsections of the patent classification system were separated on the map. When each map node was labeled according to the majority of the subsections in the node and the abstracts belonging to the other subsections were considered as misclassifications. the resulting "accuracy" (actually, the "purity" of the nodes) was 64% . It should be noted that the subsections overlap partially—the same patent may have subclasses which belong to different subsections. The result corresponded well with the accuracies we have obtained in several different runs with smaller maps computed on subsets of the same document collection. The distribution of patents on the final map has been visualized in Fig. 4 .

Fig- - Distribution of four sample subsections of the patent classication system on the document map-The gray level indicates the logarithm of the number of patents in each node.

E- Exploration of the document map

The document map is presented to the user as a series of HTML pages that enable the exploration of the map: when clicking a point on the map display with a mouse, links to the document database enable reading the contents of the documents If the map is large subsets of it can first be viewed by zooming. With the largest maps we have used three zooming levels before reaching the documents. To provide guidance to the exploration, an automatic method has been utilized for selecting keywords to characterize map regions [51]. These keywords, to be regarded only as some kind of *landmarks* on the map display, serve as navigation cues during the exploration of the map, as well as provide information on the topics discussed in the documents on the respective map area

E.1 Content addressable search: example

The interface to the map has been provided with a form field into which the user can type a query, or a description of interest, in the form of a short "document." This query is preprocessed and a document vector is formed in the exactly same manner as for the stored documents prior to construction of the map. The resulting vector is then compared with the model vectors of all map units and the best-contribution points are matching with α circles on the map display: the better the match, the larger the circle. These locations provide good starting points for browsing An example of utilizing the content-addressable search on the map of seven million patent abstracts is shown in Fig. 5. With the map of all patent abstracts performing the search takes only a few seconds in total

E.2 Keyword search: example

A more conventional keyword search mode has also been provided for finding good starting-points for browsing After building the map for each word we indexed the map units that contain the word. Given a search description, the matching units are found from the index and the best matches are returned and displayed as circles on the map all within a few seconds of starting the search. An example of performing a keyword search is depicted in Fig. 6. The example shows an advantage of the visual map over more traditional searching. When performing a search, the best matches often contain different kinds of material, or different aspects that may be relevant to the query. In a more traditional search engine these matches might be returned as a list organized by relevance. On the map the relevance information can be portrayed as the size of the circle or other symbol marking a match, and, furthermore, the different aspects of the topic may be found within different clusters or areas of the map. If the user is already familiar with the map, the display may immediately help in selecting the most interesting subset of matches

26

 \blacksquare Content addressable search was utilized to find information on laser surgery on the cornea of the eye-best matching locations are marked with circles- \sim the area reveals as small or the area reveals a cluster of map units that contains patent abstracts mostly about the cornea of the eye and of surgical operations on it-lead with the description of interest interest interest interest interest interpretty on the c cornea, are found in the best-matching units.

Fig- - The keyword search mode was utilized to nd information on color displays- bestmatching units were marked on the display with circles the size of which indicates the goodness of the match-As seen from the map display, the matches are distributed into several tight "clusters" found in dierent regions of the map-dierent regions of the particle map-dierent regions of \mathbf{A} sample matching matchi unit are shown in the insets-insets-insets-insets-insets-inspection of the units reveals dierent aspects of co displays- Unit a features a considerable number of abstracts about color liters about color literating LCDD in displays, whereas in **b** one finds technology related to displaying colors when printing documents (the "Descriptive words" lists were found for each map unit using the automatic keyword selection method introduced in the user who probably did not have probably did not have printing in \mathcal{M} when formulating the query \mathcal{M} can then concentrate on the other clusters-

January 25, 2000

It has been demonstrated that similarity graphs of very large free-form even defective collections of English texts can be produced by contemporary computers

The similarity graphs appear to be especially suitable for interactive data mining or exploration tasks in which the user either does not know the domain very well or has only \mathcal{L}

In this paper the emphasis has been on the up-scalability of the methods relating to very large text collections. The novel contributions of the present article are: 1. A new application that is an order of magnitude larger than our previous one, 2. a new method of forming statistical models of documents, and 3. several new fast computing methods ("shortcuts"). In the present experiments the computational complexity was reduced by a factor of over four orders of magnitude compared with the straightforward solution

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We wish to thank the European Patent Office and the National Board of Patents and Registration of Finland for their help with the patent collection and the Academy of Finland for financial support.

REFERENCES

- J W Tukey- Exploratory Data Analysis- AddisonWesley- Reading- MA-
- G Young and A S Householder- Discussion of a set of points in terms of their mutual distances- Psychometrica- vol - pp

-
- w store in the state is the method in the scaling in the method in the state in the state \mathbf{r} 1952.
- , and with the manufacture α and α Quantitive Applications in the Social Sciences- Newbury Park- CA-
- J de Leeuw and W Heiser- Theory of multidimensional scaling- in Handbook of Statistics- P R Krishnaiah and L N Kanal-Holland-William Company and L N Kanal-Holland-William Company and L N Kanal-Holland-William Comp
- $\lceil 6 \rceil$  M Wish and J D Carrol- Multidimensional scaling and its applications- in Handbook of Statistics- P R Krishnaiah and L N Kanal- Eds- vol
- pp NorthHolland- Amsterdam-
- in external scaling-and scaling-scaling-and scaling-scaling-and sciences-sciences- were scienced and sciences and C B Reads- Eds- vol - pp Wiley- New York-
- J W Sammon Jr- A nonlinear mapping for data structure analysis- IEEE Transactions on Computersvol C- pp -
- t kohonen- vol topologically correct formation of topologically correct feature maps- -
- is the taxonomy-clustering-clustering-clustering-clustering-clustering-clustering-clustering-clustering-clusterin recognition are continued to continue of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the c
- a kohonen- springer- en die berlinger- en die berlin- en die berlin- en die berlin- en die berlin- en die berl
- in-discovered-contract the contract map for information retrieval-contract map for information retrieval-contract map for information retrieval-contract map for information retrieval-contract map for information retrievalth Ann Int Ann Int Ann International Conference and Ann I
- J C Scholtes- Unsupervised Learning and the Information Retrieval Problem- in Proc IJCNN Int Joint Conf on Neural Networks- Singapore- - vol I- pp - IEEE Service Center- Piscataway- NJ
- , a merkel and a merkle similarity of semantic similarity between documents by using maps in Application of an articles to organize software libraries-distribution \mathcal{U} Conf and Congress of the Int Federation for Information and Documentation-
- T Honkela- S Kaski- K Lagus- and T Kohonen- Newsgroup exploration with WEBSOM method and brows ing interface- Tech Rep A
- Helsinki University of Technology- Laboratory of Computer and Information se en en een die soos die so
- $S_{\rm eff}$, with the T Honkela- and T \sim $S_{\rm eff}$ and $S_{\rm eff}$ and $S_{\rm eff}$ and $S_{\rm eff}$ are $S_{\rm eff}$ and $S_{\rm eff}$ a maps- in Process on Process on Network September - September - World Network - Process Development Consequent International pp Lawrence Erlbaum and INNS Press- Mahwah- NJ-
- T Kohonen- S Kaski- K Lagus- and T Honkela- Very large twolevel SOM for the browsing of newsgroupsin Proc ICANN
 Int Conf on Articial Neural Networks Bochum Germany July
-
- C von der Malsburg- W von Seelen- J C Vorbruggen- and B Sendho- Eds- Lecture Notes in Computer Science- vol - pp

 Springer- Berlin-
- isi sa tangani si salawani ni sanawi nan si salawani wana lalawana mampa sa nilawansan lihatiliwa sa mali approach to interactive exploration- in Second Int Process Into Second Interactive Discovery and Data Discovery Mining- E Simoudis- J Han- and U Fayyad- Eds- pp

 AAAI Press- Menlo Park- California-
- to the complete of very large database by selforganizing maps and the conflict maps are conflicted with a signal on Neural Networks- pp PLPL IEEE Service Center- Piscataway- NJ-
- $S_{\rm eff}$, we document and T $\rm H_2$ and T $\rm H_3$ and $\rm H_4$ and $\rm H_5$ and $\rm H_6$ and $\rm H_7$ and $\rm H_7$ and $\rm H_8$ Neurocomputing- vol
- pp -
- K Lagus- T Honkela- S Kaski- and T Kohonen- WEBSOM for textual data mining- Articial Intel ligence **Review-** In pressure to the property of the second term in the second of the seco
- T and a self-organization of the salon part of the self-organization of the same self or the same self-organization of a massive text document collection- in the section-time Maps- in Kohonen Maps- mandate Maps- member massively Amsterdam-
- T Kohonen- Comparison of SOM point densities based on dierent criteria- Neural Computation- vol no - pp

 -
- T Kohonen- New developments of Learning vector Quantization and the SelfOrganizing map- in Symp on Neural Networks Al liances and Perspectives in Senri- Osaka- Japan-
- Senri Int Information Institute
- y and ordering and ordering of Computation map-and ordering batch map-and the computation-of-computation-of-computation-of-computation-of-computation-of-computation-of-computation-of-computation-of-computation-of-computati -
- a gers in the complete transaction-block quantization- in IEEE Transaction- in IEEE Transaction- Information- - pp -
- R M Gray- Vector quantization- IEEE ASSP Magazine- pp
 April
- , and H Gish-Andrew Makhoul-Makhoul-Makhoul-Makhoul-Makhoul-Makhoul-Makhoul-Makhoul-Makhoul-Makhoul-Makhoul-Ma \cdots , pp \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots
- T Kohonen- and J Hynninen- and J Laaksonen- and J Laaksonen- and J Laaksonen- and J Laaksonen- and J Laaksonenpackage- Report A-2-, accordings to Technology- of Technology- and Information Science- and Information Science-Jan. 1996.
- D Koller and M Sahami- Toward optimal feature selection- in Machine Learning Proc of the Thirteenth . In the confidence of the confidence o
- H Chen- C Schuels- and R Orwig- Internet categorization and search a selforganizing approach- Journal of Visual Communication and Image Representation-Image Representation-Image Representation-Image Representatio
- , and F Murtage- In the F Murtage- and information in astronomical networks and international contraction in a information retrieval- Vistas in Astronomy- vol - pp -
- X Lin- Map displays for information retrieval- Journal of the American Society for Information Sciencevol - pp -
- D Merkl- Text classication with selforganizing maps Some lessons learned- Neurocomputing- vol
product the product of the
- isul and O Titles Irreduced and O Titles in the Chen-Line collaboration for collaborative collaborative collaborative computer ing- IEEE Computer- pp
- August
- H Ritter and T Kohonen- Selforganizing semantic maps- Biol Cyb- vol no pp

 -
- T Honkela- S Kaski- K Lagus- and T Kohonen- WEBSOMselforganizing maps of document collectionsin Processing Maps Espoo Finland June 1999, and Self-American Self-American Self-American Self-American Self-A University of Technology- Neural Networks Research Centre- Espoo- Finland-
- G Salton and M J McGill- Introduction to modern information retrieval- McGrawHill- New York-
- is-deep and the state of the matrix of the semantic and the semantic and the semantic analysis-Journal of the American Society for Information Science- vol - pp -

January 25, 2000

- , statistically at the exploration internal selforganizing mapping activities in the mathematics community at the putting ment in Management in Engineering Series Series Ang Management (Series, Series) Association (Series) (\sim Technology- \sim Technology-
- S Kaski- Dimensionality reduction by random mapping Fast similarity computation for clustering- in Proc of IJCNN international Networks-III Conference Center-III Conference Center-III Conference Center-III Conference NJ-
- proposal and vinage and vinage and matrices-in large graphs and matrices-in large graphs and matrices-in and matrices-Proc of the th ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms San Francisco CA- pp ACM-1999.
- , and S Vempala- indexing a probabilities in the S Vempala- index and the semantic index and the probabilities analysis- in Proc of the seventeenth ACM SIGACT-SIGMOD-SIGART symposium on Principles of database systems June 1996 and the Seattle WA-Seattle WA-Seattle WA-Seattle WA-Seattle WA-Seattle WA-Seattle WA-Seattle
- T T According to the continuum collection of the art-collections of the art-collections are collections to the , and International Networks-Articles - And The Conference - Articles - And The Conference - And The Property - Articles - Springer- London-
- , a scalable selforganizing management map algorithm for textual classication and textual classication and the network approach to thesaurus generation- CC-AICommunication Cognition and Articial Intel ligencevol e postal de la postal de la
- is a rodrigues and L B almeidam in the learning speed in the learning speed in the learning speed in the learn INNC Int Neural Networks Conference- Dordrecht- Netherlands- - pp - Kluwer
- , progress with the treestructure self-order the treestructured selforganizing map- in Process with European C Conf on Articial Intel ligence- A G Cohn- Ed- New York- - pp

 - John Wiley Sons
- P Koikkalainen- Fast deterministic selforganizing maps- in Proc ICANN Int Conf on Articial Neural Networks- F FogelmanSoulie and P Gallinari- Eds- Nanterre- France- - vol II- pp - EC
- T Kohonen- Things you havent heard about the SelfOrganizing Map- in Proc ICNN Int Conf on neural Networks- in the Piscataway- in the problem of the center-service Center-service Center-service Center-
- K Koskenniemi- Two-Level Morphology A General Computational Model for Word-Form Recognition and Production- PhD thesis- University of Helsinki- Department of General Linguistics-
- is and and selection in the selection method for characterizing text of characterizing text of the selection of ICANN Ninth International Networks-Articles and Articles and Articles and Articles and Articles and Articles a

Dr- Teuvo Kohonen is Professor of the Academy of Finland- and he is a!liated with the Helsinki University of Technology. He was the founding President of the European Neural Network Society His most recent research area is selforganization- in which he has introduced the widely known unsupervised learning algorithm called the Self-Organizing Map. He is author of vertext $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{M}$ and \math and co accepted the most recent coauthor on discussed books and control of several edit

Samuel Kaski received his DSc Tech degree in Computer Science from Helsinki University of Technology- Finland- in He is currently Professor of Computer Science at the Lab oratory of Computer and Information Science Neural Networks Research Centre - Helsinki University of Technology. His main research areas are neural computation and data mining.

Krista Lagus received her MSc degree in Computer Science from Helsinki University of Technology- Finland- in She is a research associate at the Neural Networks Research Centre- Helsinki University of Technology since Her main research interests are related to networks-to-their self-organized mappentum and their application to natural language in the self-organizatio processing and data mining

Jarkko Salojärvi received his M.Sc. degree in Technical Physics from Helsinki University of Technology in His main research interests are related to neural networks- the emphasis being on self-organizing maps and their application to data mining. Mr. Salojärvi is a research associate at the Neural Networks Research Centre- Helsinki University of Technology since 1997.

Jukka Honkela is an undergraduate student at the University of Oulu- Finland- where he studies computer engineering. The research was done while he was a research assistant at the Neural Networks Research Centre- Helsinki University of Technology Currently he works for GuruSoft Oy utilizing Self-Organizing Maps in textual data mining.

Vesa Paatero is an undergraduate student at Helsinki University of Technology- where he studies information technology He is working as a research assistant at the Neural Networks Research Centre- Helsinki University of Technology

 \mathbf{A} is an ungergraduate studient at Helsinki University of Technologystudies information technology. He is currently doing his Master's Thesis at ABB Industry Oy using neural nets and image analysis for paper defect classification.