TCP Transport Control Protocol

Martin Heusse



TCP is for transferring files



TCP is a reliable transport protocol



Connection establishment/tear-down

- 3 way handshake (connect)
 - \checkmark On server side, a passive socket waits for a connection
 - ✓ SYN SYN-ACK –ACK
 - $\checkmark\,$ On server side, an active socket is created
- Connection tear-down
 - ✓ Fin ACK / Fin ACK
 - $\checkmark\,$ Reading from a closed connection: first receive 0 byte, then error

Connection establishment/tear-down



Connection establishment/tear-down (cont.)



MoSIG - 6

Side note: UDP communication

• Compare with previous slides...



TCP acks

- The ACK tells the next expected seq number
- ACKs are cumulative
- Timeout triggers loss recovery
 - ✓ Or duplicate ACKS (see fast retransmit below)
 - \checkmark Need to use a proper timeout value
- Delayed ACK mechanism (up to 500ms!)
 - Leave time to the application to answer
 - $\checkmark\,$ At least one ACK every other received segment
- Nagle Algorithm
 - $\checkmark\,$ No more than one unacknowledged short packet
 - $\checkmark \Rightarrow$ More efficient network usage

...might be a problem

Can be turned on/off with the NO_DELAY socket option.

TCP Retransmission Timeout RTO

- Too short \rightarrow spurious retransmissions
- Too long is not good either
- each time a new measurement is available: EstimatedRTT $\leftarrow (1 - x) \times \text{EstimatedRTT} + x \times \text{measuredRTT}$ x = 1/8

(+ similar formula for estimating standard deviation)

 $\textbf{RTO} \leftarrow \textbf{EstimatedRTT} + 4 \times \textbf{EstimatedStdDev}$

or 200ms (or 1s on some systems) if RTO is below this!!!

TCP sliding window

- TCP allows to send *cwnd* (congestion window) unacknowledged bytes
- cwnd always smaller than WIN field (buffer size at receiver)(flow control)
- *cwnd* changes over the connection life : slow start, then congestion avoidance

Silly window syndrome

- If the data consumer reads byte by byte in the reception buffer This (would) cause(s) sending of ACKs with WIN=I
 - \rightarrow small segments...
- Solutions:
 - $\checkmark\,$ At the receiver: advertise a non-null window only if an entire MSS would fit
 - \checkmark At the sender: delay sending small packets.

TCP congestion control

- Avoids receiver buffer saturation (WIN)
- Adapt sending rate to network capacity

Segment losses signal that a saturation occured

- Fair resource sharing
- 2 (3) states:
 - I. Slow start
 - 2. Congestion avoidance
 - 3. (Fast recovery)

slow start

- Double cwnd each RTT
- Increase cwnd by one MSS upon ACK reception



Congestion avoidance

- When *cwnd* reaches *ssthresh* (twnd on figure below), linear increase of *cwnd* in time (instead of exponential)
- The update still takes place upon reception of an ACK:

$$\mathit{cwnd} \leftarrow \mathit{cwnd} + \frac{\mathit{MSS} \times \mathit{MSS}}{\mathit{cwnd}}$$

The sender sends $\approx \frac{cwnd}{MSS}$ segments per RTT, so that the cwnd will grow by \approx 1 MSS/RTT

Tahoe example: (slow start after each loss; ssthresh = $\frac{1}{2}$ inflight)



MoSIG - 14

TCP Reno – Fast recovery



- No slow start phase!
- The window is halved + 3 MSS to account for the received dup acks; For each new duplicate: cwnd+=MSS (window inflate)
- New Reno: deals better with multiple losses in same congestion period...

Why is TCP fair??

Two connections share the same buffer,

