

Name:

NetEcon final exam

February 11, 2015

Patrick Loiseau

For each question, check all boxes corresponding to correct answers. There may be zero, one or several.

Advice: Read the questions carefully!

1. Consider the following two-players game:

		P2	
		A	B
P1	A	5, 2	-1, -1
	B	-1, -1	2, 5

- It is a potential game with potential f such that $f(a, a)=6$, $f(a, b)=3$, $f(b, b)=6$, $f(b, a)=0$.
 - It is not a congestion game.
 - It is a potential game with potential f such that $f(a, a)=0$, $f(a, b)=-6$, $f(b, b)=0$, $f(b, a)=-3$.
 - It has a Nash equilibrium in pure strategy.
2. Consider the following simplified model of a P2P system where the two players can either cooperate (C) or defect (D):

		P2	
		C	D
P1	C	2, 2	-1, 3
	D	3, -1	0, 0

- This is a prisoner dilemma.
- If the game is played once (i.e., one-shot game), both players will cooperate at Nash equilibrium.
- If the game is played infinitely many times without a discount factor (which is equivalent to a discount factor $\delta=1$), there exists a Nash equilibrium which maximizes the social welfare.
- If the game is played infinitely many times with a discount factor δ , there exists a Nash equilibrium which maximizes the social welfare for any value of δ .

3. Consider a 2-players attacker defender game. The attacker has 2 actions, attack (a) or not-attack (na) and the defender has 2 actions, monitor (m) or not monitor (nm). The payoffs are (with $\alpha_c > 0$, $\alpha_f > 0$, $\alpha_s > 0$, $\beta_c > 0$, $\beta_s > 0$):

		defender	
		m	nm
attacker	a	$-\beta_c, \alpha_c$	$\beta_s, -\alpha_s$
	na	$0, -\alpha_f$	$0, 0$

- There exists no Nash equilibrium.
 - All Nash equilibria are in mixed strategy.
 - If $\alpha_s > \alpha_f$, the maxmin strategy (or safe strategy) for the defender is m.
 - At the Nash equilibrium, the probability that the defender monitors depends only on the payoff parameters of the defender $\alpha_c, \alpha_f, \alpha_s$.
4. Auctions. We consider auctions with a single item, where there is one seller and there are n buyers with independent identically distributed private value.
- A second-price auction is equivalent to an open ascending auction.
 - In a second-price auction, bidding truthfully is weakly dominant.
 - The revenue for the seller is always the same in a first-price auction and in a second price auction.
 - In a first-price auction, bidding truthfully is weakly dominated.